Civil File

1907 to 1921

Civil file

from 1907 to 1921

86/24

Political [illegible]

Bombay Castle, [illegible] 1907

To

The Collector & Political [illegible]

[illegible]

Memorandum.

The undersigned [illegible] compliments to the [illegible] Political Agent, Dharwar, and with reference to his [illegible] 7857 [illegible] directed to request that [illegible] Ramachendra Swamiar [illegible] may be informed that [illegible] Governor in Council has [illegible] his petition against the [illegible] of the Commissioner S.D. [illegible] the 22nd August 1906, in a similar matter.

Lt. P.W. [illegible]
for Secretary [illegible]

No. 488

Camp [illegible], [illegible] January 1907.

Copy forwarded to the Dewan.

Savanur for information and for communication to the applicant.

C. London

Political Agent,
Dharwar

Dt.

Through
The Assistant Political Agent,
Dharwar

25 JAN Rec'd

No. 46 of 1906
Camp Hubli 29 Jan: 07

Forwarded.

Agent

31/1/07

Dr. Ramchandra Swamirao Kulkarni of Hotanhalli is intd. of the orders in vers. 6/2/07.

Divan Sa.

Memo.

Cancd

The Political Agent, Savanur, State, is pleased to -- issue to Mr Shankar Raoji Apte, pleader Dharwar District, a Sanad authorising him to practise as a pleader in the -- Political Agent's and all subordinate courts in the Agency.

Camp Tadas,
2nd January 1906.

Sd/. C. Hudson.
Political Agent,
Savanur State.

No. 38 of 1907.

Camp Tadas, ~~2nd~~ 4th January 1907.

Copy forwarded to the Dwan of Savanur for information.

2. The fee of Rs30/- paid by Mr S. R. Apte, for the year commencing 1st January 1907, has been received and -- will be credited into the Huzur Treasury in due course.

Hudson

Political Agent,
Savanur State.

Indo 8. RRG

No. 1387 of 20-2-07

Civil Cirk.

To,

The Collector & Political Agent

Dharwar.

Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your memorandum No. 6 dated the 29th December 1906, forwarding a petition of appeal from Antaji alias Shrinivas Raghavendra & 30 others against the decision of the 1st Class Subordinate Judge of Gavanur in Civil Suit No. 17 of 1897, & to request that you will forward the papers in the case to Government.

2. I am to enquire under what notification, either of the State or of Government,

Sch

Act X of 1886 was introduced in Savanur.

I have &c.

sd/ P. W. Monie

Under Secretary to Government.

No. 1309 of 1907

Dharwar 28th February 1907

Copy forwarded to the Diwan & 1st Class Sub-Judge Savanur for early compliance.

Crondon

Political Agent

RBL

Savanur.

Sudho 130.

No. 164 of 1907 9th March 1907

Sir

Adverting to above I have the honor to enclose the papers in Civil Suit No 179 of 1899 as per ~~Discriptive~~ list (Diary)

2) I beg further to report that Act X of 1886

The Hereditary offices Act VII of 1874 as amended by Lieutenant Acts were, along with other Acts & Rules ~~unnotified~~ notified, so far as they may be applicable, to be in force in the Saranam state by GR no 821 / 1-2-1897 Pol Dept

Act I of 1886 was not specifically introduced in Saranam by any other separate notification either of the state or of Govt.

Shankar
Rao

No. 4860 of 1907.

Dharwar, 20th July 1907.

Memo:

The Political Agent, Savanur State, is pleased to issue to Mr. Kashinath Gurunath Kulkarni, B.A.LL.B., High - Court Pleader, a Sanad authorizing him to practice for one -- year from date as a Pleader in the Political Agent's and all Subordinate Courts in the Agency.

2. Receipt of Rs.30 the fee for this Sanad is acknow--ledged.

Sd: C Hudson.

Political Agent, Savanur.

To

Mr.K.G.Kulkarni B.A.LL.B., Pleader, Dharwar.

No. 4861 of 1907.

Dharwar, 20th July 1907.

Copy forwarded to the Diwan of Savanur for information.

C Hudson

Political Agent, Savanur.

No. 2529 of 1906.

Civil

Dharwar 9 th April 1906.

Sections 7, 11, to 21 (both inclusive) 23, Chapters 5,6, 7, and Section 71 A of the Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act have been applied (vide Government Notification No. 4144 dated 15 th August 1905, J.D.) to the Dharwar District. The Assistant Political Agent, Savanur is requested to be good enough to report if there is any reason why Government should not be moved to apply them to the villages of Savanur State.

(Sd.) C. Hudson,
Political Agent, Savnur.

No. 196 of 1906.
Camp Hirekerur, 23 April, 1906.

Copy forwarded to the Dewan of Savanur for report.

Assistant Political Agent,
Dharwar.

[Gen. 35. c.

No. 283 of 1906.

DEPARTMENT.

Assistant Political Agent's ~~Collector's~~ Office,
15 - June - 1906.

MEMORANDUM.

No. 196 of 23 April 1906 Application of the Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act to the Savanur State.

Requests an early reply to this Office reference noted in the margin.

Asst Collector.
Asst Pol: Agent

To

THE

The Dewan
Savanur

O 1202—97,000-2-04

In no 383.

No 291 of 1906

Govt Savant.
18th June 1906

To

The H.E.H.

Sir

With ref to your no 196/23-4-06 I have the honor to report that for reasons given below I do not think that the application of the provisions of the Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act mentioned in P.A. No 2529/99 is at present desirable or would in any way better the position of the agriculturists in the state:

(1) The rates of assessment on the lands in the state are much higher than those obtaining in the adjoining British villages & are in some cases double. The Ryots manage to pay their land rev & tide over other difficulties of life with the help of the Sawkar

If the Act is applied they will practically be deprived of this help.

(2) The powers of disposal of the State being limited, the Ryots cannot expect to get assistance from the State to the same extent to which British Ryots can, even in the matter of Tagai loans. They will be left without any resource to fall back upon, in case of necessity or misfortune.

(3) The application of the Act will lead to out & out sale of lands. The lands will slowly but steadily pass out of the possession of beneficial agriculturists into the hands of Sowkars. No Sowkar will ordinarily advance a loan on the security of lands merely hypothecated.

(4) The present relations between the Sowkar & the Ryot which are, on the whole, friendly in 75 cases out of 100 will disappear. There will be no mutual trust

between them. The Ryot will be left in a precarious condition. He naturally, of course, looks to the Sowcar for help, because he cannot approach the State because its funds are limited. Unless some sort of State help is provided in times of difficulties which the Ryot has many, it may be is undesirable to apply the Act & leave him to shift for himself

(3) Land is already passing out of cultivation in the State, partly on account of repeated epidemics of plague & partly on account of high assessment. If the Act is applied, forfeitures of land for default of payment may be more frequent. They are at present ~~this~~ State revenues may differ

320 (6) Orders have been issued by the P.N. (Order) the subject unmeasurable property to magistrates
S.C. for the execution of Civil decrees through

these offices from Sept last. This will
[illegible] fine the Rayets some relief

(7) When the Act is applied village munsiffs
& conciliators will have to be appointed
~~The Rabbi [illegible]~~
~~recently~~ The majority of the villages village offices of the State are unelected
& all stationed in life. It is doubtful how
far village munsiffs & conciliators may
be competent to do their duties. The Rayets
~~may~~ will perhaps be left to the tender mercies
of these officials & may ~~[illegible]~~ likely feel
that they were better off with their old friends,
the Lawkers.

(8) The Act has been only recently applied
to the Dist. It ~~[illegible]~~ is, I think,
desirable to await the development
of things in the Dist itself ~~before the Act~~ ~~[illegible]~~
before the Act is applied to the State
~~[illegible]~~. This question was
once discussed ~~when~~ in the time of the
late P.M. It was thought more expedient
to defer the application of the Act to the State
light of actual experience in the Dist

(9). The Act, I understand, has not been applied to the native states in the Dist.

19/6/60 Thank U.

RKhan

Reply to letter No. 292 dated 23-rd June 1906 from the Assistant Political Agent, Savanur.

No. 4447 of 1906.

Dharwar, 27-th June 1906.

The present Political Agent did not know that the late Political Agent had considered the matter; but the point should not be lost sight of. The Dewan's fears are probably exaggerated; Munsiffs and Conciliators have not yet been appointed in Dharwar. A further report will be awaited in a year's time.

Sd. C.Hudson.

Political Agent, Dharwar

Subject

Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act

300.

No. 29 of 1906.

Camp Dharwar, 30 June 1906.

Copy forwarded to the Dewan of Savanur for information with reference to his No. 291 dated the 19 instant.

Assistant Poltical Agent,

Dharwar.

Judo 414.

Final

No. 3724 of 1907.

Dharwar 5-th June 1907.

Memo:-

Subject:-

Application of the Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act to Savanur.

The attention of the Assistant Political Agent, Savanur, is invited to the correspondence ending with this office No. 4447 of 27 - June 1906 and he is informed that a further report on the subject is awaited.

(Sd.) C.Hudson.
Collector and Political Agent, Dharwar

No. 248 of 1907.

Dharwar 7 June 1907.

Copy forwarded to the Dewan of Savanur with reference to this office No . 300 of 30 June 1906. The report called for may please be submitted at an early date.

Assistant Political Agent,
Dharwar.

Sir. No 301 of 07 20th July 07.

With reference to above I have the honour to report that [illegible] 19-6-06 the application of the D. A. R. Act to Savanur has another year [illegible] be deferred until village

Municipal & Circulators have been
appointed in all places throughout the practical
results of the working of this Act are definitely
known in the other world

18/7/07 J Hazell

Below No 243 of 31-7-07 from the overseer stating that the work of Chavdi of Mudabur has been completed & that the materials (leather & leathers are necessary (?). No 313 of 07 7th August (?07).

Referred to the State overseer

2) A fresh indent should be sent to Messrs Vishnu Bulkal & Co Bombay through the Supt of the S.P.A. The company ~~does~~ need not have the course as the indent. Informing the fresh indent ~~of the State Engineer~~ is sufficient if sent to the overseer. ~~Ref~~ Lo 234 of 27-7-07

7/8/07

No.

The Political Agent, Savanur State, is pleased to issue to Mr. A.F.I. Pathan, Pleader District Court, Dharwar, a -- Sanad authorising him to practise for one year from date as a pleader in the Political Agent's and all subordinate -- Courts in the Agency.

2. A fee of Rs 30 (thirty) has been paid for this sanad.

Dharwar.

23- July 1907.

Political Agent, Savanur.

To

The Assistant Political Agent, S avanur.

The Dewan of Savanur.

No. 401 of 1907. Savanur.

Recd 5th Oct 1907

To

The P.A.

Sir

With ref to yr No 6556 / 28-9-07 forwarding G R No 6560 / 17-9-07 in the matter of Revision application by Sultan Ahmed Khan & another I have the honor to request that the case papers may kindly be forwarded for being received without fee

I have &c
Rao

Rec'd the P.C. with ref to his No 424 / 2-10-07

No. 4010 of 1907. Savanur.

5th October 1907.

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalvedekar
B.A.,
Diwan Savanur.

To,

The Political Agent,
Dharwar.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 6556 of 28th Ult. forwarding G.R. No. 6560 of 17th Septr. 1907, P.D. in the matter of Review application to Government by Gulam Ahmadkhan & another I have the honor to request that the case papers may kindly be forwarded for being recorded.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt. servant
Rajerao
Diwan Savanur

Inds 2770/9-10-07

Through the Assistant Political Agent, with reference to his No. 4248 of 2nd October 1907.

No 438 of 1907

Dharwar 9th October 1907

Returned

The case papers have already been forwarded.

Hpt

Off. agent

[illegible]

case pps. have been handed over to the civil clerk 17-10-07.

Rh

Divan Sahib

Civil

Introduction of the Explosive Substances Act VI of 1908 into the Sávanur State.

Letter No. 5569, dated the 15th August 1908.

From—H. L. Painter, Esq., I.C.S., Collector and Political Agent, Dhárwár;

To—The Commissioner, S. D.

I have the honour to enclose a draft notification declaring the marginally noted enactment to be in force in the Sávanur State, and to request the favour of your kindly obtaining the sanction of Government.

Explosive Substances Act VI of 1908.

Endorsement by the Hon'ble Mr. M. C. Gibb, Commissioner, S. D., No. POL.-252, dated the 20th August 1908.

Submitted to Government for favour of sanction.

No. 6985.

POLITICAL DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 30th October 1908.

RESOLUTION.—The proposal to introduce the Explosive Substances Act VI of 1908 into the Sávanur State is approved.

2. The notification submitted by the Political Agent has been amended and its issue in the amended form appended to this Resolution is approved. Three copies of the notification, with the date of publication recorded thereon should be forwarded to Government.

J. E. B. HOTSON,
Under Secretary to Government.

To
The Commissioner, S. D.,
The Collector and Political Agent, Dhárwár.

Pol 1260—1

No. 590 of 1908
Copy forwarded ~~for information~~ with three copies of the notification to the Diwan of Savanur who is requested to record on the date of publication of the three copies of notification in the Savanur State.

20-11-08.

Head Clerk,
~~to the Collector of Dharwar.~~

Asst. Poll. Agent

22/11/08

No. 652 of 08.

Forwarded to the Inspector of Police for information, note & return. The notification referred to was published in the Statesman on 12-12-08.

Savanur
14-12-08

No. 422 of 1908
December 1908

Noted & returned with respects to the Magistrate of the District, Sadasivagad.

19/XII

Sub-Inspector of Police
Sadasivagad

21-12-08

Noted in the list of Acts in force in Savanur

23/12/08

B. Sawkar
Clerk.

Accompaniment to Government Resolution No. 6985, dated the 30th October 1908.

NOTIFICATION.

The Administrator of the Sávanur State notifies on behalf of the minor Nawab of Sávanur that the Explosive Substances Act VI of 1908 shall, *mutatis mutandis* and so far as it may be applicable, come into force in the Sávanur State with effect from the date of the publication of this notification.

The powers to be exercised by the Governor-General in Council, or the Local Government or Government, wherever mention is made thereof in the said Act, should be exercised by the Administrator of the Sávanur State as representing the minor Nawab, and all references to British India in the said Act should be read as including the Sávanur State.

H. L. PAINTER,
Political Agent, Dhárwár.

Pol 1260—2

No 613 of 08 Civil Secretariat 22/11/08

To
The A.P.A

Sir,

With ref to yr no 590 of 20-11-08 forwarding G.R. No 6985 of 30th ~~Dec~~ Oct last I have the honor to request that 25 copies of the notification referred to in the G.R. may as usual be kindly sent for publication in the State village.

The 3 copies now sent will be returned with the date of publication received therein indicated, when the notifications introducing the Explosive Substances Act VI 1908 into the State of Travancore are published.

22/11/08 Thomas
Resr.

Civil

No 613 of 1908

Savanur 22nd November 1908

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalwedkhar Esqr B.A.

Diwan of Savanur.

To,

The Assistant Political Agent,

Savanur State

Sir,

Civil 19/11/08

With reference to your No 590 of 20-11-08 forwarding G.R. No 6985 of 30th October last, I have the honour to request that 25 copies of the notification referred to in the G.R. may, as usual, be kindly sent for publication in the State villages. The 3 copies now sent will be returned with the date of publication recorded thereon, as desired, when the notifications introducing the Explosive Substances Act VI of 1908 into the Savanur State

are published.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Rajaswamillal

Diwan of Sivaganga

No. 602 of 1908

Jabbradhoumihalli 27th Nov. 1908.

Returned.

2. The Diwan is requested to ~~have~~ have copies of the notification prepared in vernacular and sent to all ~~these~~ the village officers with instructions to publish them on a fixed date. These copies of the notification already sent should be returned with the date of publication.

Endo No. 744 30/11/08

A. L. Reeves

Ag. Agent

Civil

నోటీసు బోర్డులో

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No 646 of 1908

Savanur.
13th Dec 08

To,

The A.S. Agent, Savanur State.

Sir,

Ref to your No. 5908 of 20/11/08 & 602 of 27-11-08

I have the honor to report that ~~publicity~~ the notifications referred to therein as published ~~has been given to the notice~~ in the State villages on 12/12/08 & the date has been recorded on the three copies of the notifications received from you as enclosed with the date of publication recorded therein ~~entered therein with~~

I have the honor &c

Dy. Diwan

Circd

No. 2027 of 1909.

Dharwar; 26 March 1909.

Memorandum.

Subject.
Introduction of Explosives --- Substances Act in the Savanur State.

The Diwan of Savanur is requested to forward 2 copies of the Notification with the date of ---- publication recorded thereon for the records of ---- the offices of Political Agent and the Commissioner, Southern Division.

For Collector & Political Agent,
Dharwar.

The [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

Dat
28-3-09
Sudho 193.

No. 190 of 1909.

Sav. 29/3/09.

Sir, Your No. 2027 of 26-3-09. ~~Referring to above~~ I have the honor to enclose ~~herewith~~ two copies of the notification ~~set~~ with the date of ~~the~~ publication recorded thereon, as required.

Subject
Explosives

I have the honor &c.
Dt. A.S. Dwarakas

A 8272A 1909.

Savanna 8th May 1909.

Circd

The A. C. A.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence with this office No 82 of 25-3-09. I have the honour to say that there seems to be no necessity for the additional police — 6 Constables on Rs. 8 each — & that therefore you will kindly accord your sanction to the discontinuance of the hands employed. Of the 6 men sanctioned only five men have been employed & their services will be dispensed with on receipt of your approval.

I have &c

[illegible]

Form of license to be issued under the rules framed under sections 2 and 4 of the Indian Poisons Act, 1904 (I of 1904).

No. J.-549, dated the 15th March 1909.

From—G. Carmichael, Esq., District Magistrate, Poona;

To—The Commissioner, C. D.

Licenses for the sale of poisonous drugs have heretofore been issued in the form given in schedule B of Act VIII of 1866; but this Act has now been repealed and in the new Act (I of 1904) no form is prescribed. As these licenses are to be issued under the rules printed as accompaniment to Government Resolution No. 183, dated 12th January 1909, Judicial Department, it would be convenient if a form is prescribed and printed. In this district about 30 licenses have to be issued. I enclose a draft* for favour of approval and early orders.

Endorsement by W. T. Morison, Esq., Commissioner. C. D., No. C.-340, dated the 20th March 1909.

Submitted to Government.

2. It seems necessary to prescribe a form of license under Rule II of the rules printed (*a*) in Notification No. 185 and (*b*) in Notification No. 186 of the 12th January last. The draft forwarded by the District Magistrate seems suitable.

No. 11 (Poisons), dated the 18th May 1909.

From—S. M. Edwardes, Esq., Acting Commissioner of Police, Bombay;

To—The Under Secretary to Government, Judicial Department, Bombay.

I have the honor to forward herewith for favour of sanction a draft* of a license which it is proposed to issue under sections 2 and 4 of the Indian Poisons Act (Act I of 1904), and to state that it has been approved of by the Solicitor to Government.

Rule IV of Part II and III of the rules made under the above Act prescribes that the licenses shall be inscribed on a non-judicial impressed stamp paper of the value of Re. 1 which should be recovered from the licensee. The fee would be collected in cash, and the stamp paper supplied by this office.

I would therefore request that you will be good enough to instruct the Superintendent, Government Central Press, to print and supply this office with 100 copies of the above form, at a very early date, printed on impressed stamp paper of the value of Re. 1.

The Solicitor to Government in reply to a query from this office has stated that it is not essential to have the rules under the Poisons Act printed on the back of the license. But in my opinion, it would be advisible to have them so printed in English and Gujrati, so that the holders of licenses cannot subsequently plead ignorance of the rules.

No. 4105.

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT.

Bombay Castle, 20th July 1909.

RESOLUTION.—The Superintendent, Government Central Press, should be instructed to have the accompanying revised form of license printed and supplied to the Commissioner of Police, Bombay, and all District Magistrates, whose requirements should be communicated to the Superintendent direct. The license is required to be inscribed on impressed stamp paper in cases where the applicant

* Not printed.

Se

does not already hold another license (*vide* Rule IV of the rules under sections 2 and 4, respectively, of the Poisons Act, 1904). The indenting officers should accordingly be requested to furnish the Superintendent, Government Central Press, with the requisite number of stamp papers of the value of Re. one each. The charge on account of the purchase of these impressed papers should be met from the contingent grants at the disposal of the officers concerned during the current year; and provided for by them in their budget estimates in future.

2. The Oriental Translator to Government should be requested to transmit, as early as possible, Gujaráti, Maráthi and Kánarese translations of the rules issued under the provisions of sections 2 and 4 of the Poisons Act, 1904 (I of 1904), to the Superintendent, Government Central Press, who should cause the vernacular translations to be printed in parallel columns with the rules in English, for issue to the officers (indenting for the Forms of license) to be supplied separately with each license. The printing of these rules for supply to the holders of licenses under the Poisons Act, 1904, in Sind, will be arranged for by the Commissioner in Sind.

(Signed) J. T. SCOTSON,
Acting Under Secretary to Government.

To

The Commissioner in Sind,
The Commissioner, N. D.,
The Commissioner, C. D.,
The Commissioner, S. D.,
The Surgeon General with the Government of Bombay,
All District Magistrates,
The Inspector-General of Police,
The Commissioner of Police, Bombay,
The Municipal Commissioner for the City of Bombay,
The Collector of Bombay,
The Chief Presidency Magistrate, Bombay (with a copy of Government Resolution No. 183, dated 12th January 1909),
The Political Resident, Aden,
The Remembrancer of Legal Affairs,
The Oriental Translator to Government,
The Superintendent, Government Central Press,
The Accountant General,
The General Department,
The Revenue Department,
The Financial Department.
The Director, Central Criminal Investigation Department, Simla,
The Chief Inspector of Explosives in India,
The Resident at Baroda,
} by letter.

No. 5909 of 1909. 3/8/09.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to The Revenue Commissioner.

R B Kumellar
Head Clerk,
to the Collector of Dharwar.

Accompaniment to Government Resolution, Judicial Department, No. 4105, dated the 20th July 1909.

Form of license under the Poisons Act, 1904 (I of 1904).

A license is hereby granted to

residing at

to possess for the purpose of sale by retail and to sell by retail at*

the poison (s) specified below :—

2. This license is granted subject to the provisions of the Poisons Act, 1904 (I of 1904), and the rules for the time being in force thereunder in the Presideney of Bombay.

3. This license shall remain in force from the day of to the day of unless it shall previous to the latter date be cancelled by the District Magistrate, / Commissioner of Police, Bombay.

4. The District Magistrate / Commissioner of Police has full authority under the said rules to cancel this license for any sufficient cause.

5. Under section 7 of the said Act on any breach of any of the said rules the license-holder will be punishable—

(i) on a first conviction, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to three months, or with fine which may extend to five hundred rupees, or with both, and

(ii) on a second or subsequent conviction, with imprisonment for a term which may extend to six months, or with fine which may extend to one thousand rupees, or with both.

The poisons referred to above :—

Aconite,
Nux Vomica,
Perchloride of Mercury,
Cyanide of Potash,
Stramonium, and
White Arsenic.

District Magistrate. / Commissioner of Police, Bombay.

* Here enter shop or other place where sale is permitted under this license.

Introduction of certain Acts into the Savanur State

Civil

No 4604 dated the 26-6-09
from C. Hudson Esqr Collector & Pol. Agent, Dharwar;
To - The Commissioner S.D.

I have the honour to enclose a draft notification declaring the marginally noted enactments to be in force in the Savanur State, & to request the favour of your kindly obtaining the sanction of Govt to the publication of the same.

1 The Bombay District Municipal Act = Act No III of 1901
2 The Record of Rights Act = Act No IV of 1903
3 The Deccan Agriculturists Relief Act = Act No XVII of 1879.
NB = These Acts as modified up to date.

2 I may note that the Mofussil Municipalities Act VI of 1873", & the District Municipal Amendment Act II of 1884" are in force in the Savanur State.

Endorsement by Mr. C. Gibb, Esqr Commissioner S.D. No Pol 173 dated the 7th July 1909.
Submitted to Government in the Political Deptt for favour of sanction.

(2) The Commissioner thinks that so much only of the Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act as applies to the Dharwar District should extended to the Savanur State (vide Govt Notification No 4144 of 15-8-05, Judicial Deptt, page 1038 of Govt Gazette) in addition to the sections of the Act which were added to it by Bombay Act II of 1907 (vide this office No 612 of 25-2-09)

No 5337

No 5337

Political Department

Bombay Castle, 4-8-09

Resolution- The proposals of the Political Agent as modified by the Commissioner are approved

2 Three copies of the notification appended to this resolution should be forwarded to Govt with the date of publication recorded thereon

J. T. ~~Jackson~~ Sclason
Ag Under secretary to Govt

To,

The Commissioner S.D
The collr & Political Agent Dharwar

No 6363 of 1909

Dharwar 17-8-09

Copy forwarded for information & guidance to the Assistant Political Agent.

Sd/- R B Punekar
Head clerk
to the collr of Dharwar

Accompaniment to Government Resolution No 5337 dated 4-8-09

Notification

The ~~administrator~~ administrator of the Savanur State notifies on ~~behalf~~ behalf of the Minor Nawab of Savanur that the marginally noted Acts shall, mutatis mutandis & so far as they may be applicable, come into force in the Savanur State with effect from the date of the publication of the notification.

1 Act No III of 1901 the Bombay Dist Municipal Act
2 Act No IV of 1903 the record of rights acts
3 So much of act No XVII of 1879 the Deccan Agril

2 The powers to be ~~exer~~ exercised by the Governor General in council, or the Local

Government or Government wherever mention is made thereof in the said acts should be exercised by the Administrator of the Savanur State as representing the minor Nawab, and all the references to British India in the said Acts should be read as including the Savanur State.

No 514 of 1909-10.
Yelugi 25/8/09

Forwarded to the Diwan of Savanur for information & guidance.

Asst Pol: Agent

Cir No 611

No. 374 of 1907.
Dharwar 2 ~~August~~ September 1907.

M e m o:-

The Dewan is requested to send a list of the -- Pleaders who have got permission to plead in ~~your~~ his -- Kacheri at Savanur, to the undersigned. U. No 2. is endorsed.

Assistant Political Agent,

D H A R W A R.

4111

Dewan No 490. No 374. of 07. 12/9/07.

Sir, with refer to your memo no (above). I have the honor to ~~subm~~ enclose herewith a list of Pleaders ~~that~~ who have got permission to plead in this & all the ~~State~~ Agency Courts.

2) I would respectfully suggest that ~~[illegible]~~ such may in future be ~~[illegible]~~ granted in future for a definite year, calendar or financial.

I have &c
Attn
Dewan

Rmd & letter to the P. A

Ellis

12/9/07
No. 4320 for.

To
The P. A

28th Oct 07.

Sir,

With ref to yr No of this
(where) I have the honor to
request that I may be furnished
with a list of Officers who have got
permission to plead in the Agency Courts
Yrs The DPA Sd — Ellis

No. 5295 of 1907.

Dharwar, 28th August 1907.

Memo.

Subject.

Sanads to Pleaders.

The attention of the Assistant Political Agent and the Divan is called to the Political Agent's Notice No. 4998, dated 19th July 1905.

2. The Political Agent, the Assistant Political Agent and the Divan will have to keep one another informed and maintain lists.

Political Agent,
Savanur State.

To

The Assistant Political Agent.
The Divan, Savanur.

Judo 483.

No. 3750 of 07.

Sawantwadi.
12th September 1907.

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalvedhekar,
Esq Jr.
Diwan Sawantwadi.

To,

The Political Agent,
Dharwar.

Sir,

With reference to your No 5295 of the 28th Ult., I have the honor to enclose herewith a list of Pleaders who have got permission to plead in this & all the Agency Courts.

2) I would respectfully suggest that Sanads may, in future be granted for some definite year, Calender or financial.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obdt Servt
Rajerao
Diwan Sawantwadi

No. 2860 of 1908.

Dharwar 27th April 1908.

Memo/

The Political Agent Savanur State is pleased to -- issue to Mr. Vasudev Divakar Patil, pleader, a sanad authorizing him to practise for one year from 1st April 1908 as a pleader in the Political Agent's and all subordinate Courts in the -- agency.

2. A fee of ₹30 has been paid for this sanad.

Political Agent, Savanur.

To,

Mr. V.D. Patil, Savanur.

The Assistant political Agent Savanur with reference to his No. 170 of 13th April 1908.

The Divan of Savanur for information with reference to his No. 102dated 3rd April 1908.

No. 3026 of 1908.
Dharwar / 21 May 1908.

Memo/.

The political Agent Savanur State is pleased to issue to Mr. Gadigayya Huchayya Honnapurmath, Pleader District ---- Court Dharwar, a Sanad authorizing him to practice for one -- year from 20th April 1908 as a pleader in the Political Agent's and all subordinate Courts in the Agency.

2. A fee of Rs 30/- has been paid for this Sanad; and -- ordited in the Huzur Treasury on 29th April 1908 to the ----- Savanur account.

For Political Agent Savanur.

To,

Mr. Gadigayya H. Honnapurmath, Dharwar.

Mr. The Assistant Political Agent for information.

— The Divan of Savanur for information.

No. 3506 of 1908.

Dharwar, 22nd May 1908.

Civil

The Political Agent, Savanur is pleased to issue to Mr. Shankar Rowji Apte, Pleader, Hubli, a Sanad authorizing him to practice for one year from the 20th May 1908 as a pleader in the Political Agent's and all subordinate courts in the Agency.

2. A fee of rupees (30) thirty only has been paid by Mr. Apte for this sanad and credited in the Huzur Treasury Dharwar to the Savanur Account on 21st May 1908.

C. London

Political Agent,
DHARWAR.

To

Mr. S. R. Apte, Pleader, Hubli.

The Assistant Political Agent, Savanur.

The Diwan of Savanur

Inwd No 361
25/5/08

The papers may be filed. The list may be handed over to the Civil Clerk to take up make further entries when required

Kile. The list to remain with the Civil Kurkun He shd make entries as Sanads are issued

9/10/09

No 118 of 1909 Civil

24/2/09.

To,

The P. A.

Dharwar.

Sir,

I have the honour to enquire if the new code of Civil procedure no V. of 1908 & the new Limitation Act. no IX of 1908 should be considered as applicable to the Savanur State.

The C. P. C & the Limitation Act at present in force in the state are nos XIV of 1882 & XV of 1877.

2) If it is considered necessary that the new Acts should be made specifically applicable to the State arrangements may kindly be made accordingly.

24/2/1909 — I have &c

Sd/-

Savanur Thos. W. P. A. Dharwar said

Civil No. 4775
1st July 1909.

To

The Secretary to Government
Political Department,
Bombay.

Sir,

Subject.

Introduction of the CP Code &c into the Savanur State.

Your No. 4446 of 24.6.09.

The matter is under reference to the Diwan of Savanur who is being expedited to submit the report called for in paras 2 & 3 of the G.R (2696 of 14.4.09).

I have &c
Sd C. Hudson
Pol. Agent.

No 4776 of 1909.
Dharwar 1st July 09.

Copy forwarded to the Diwan of Savanur for immediate compliance with

Reference to this office No. 2827 dated 23rd April 09.

Pol. Agent Dhowar

3/1/09

No. 415.

No 4370 of 09 7/7/09.

(correspondence) it was in this, but may not be in this

Sir,

With refce to (above) I have the honor to report that this your No. 2827 of 23/4/09 & R No 2696 14-4-09 ~~referred to~~ has not been recd. in this office. A copy of them may kindly be sent ~~now~~ for reference

I have the honor &c

V. Divan Sur

Thro, the A.P.A with a request that if the (above refs 4-) correspce be in his office it may kindly be sent.

Civil

Copy of letter no 5279 of 15-7-09 from the Political Agent Savanur in reply to this office no 375 of 9-7-09

A copy of the G.R. with this office endorsement thereon is forwarded as requested.

2 The information called for in this office No 2827 of 23rd april 1909 should be complied with as early as possible.

No 403 of 1909-10.
Dharwar 17th July 1909

Copy forwarded to the Diwan of Savanur for early compliance, with ref. to his no 437 of 7-7-09

The copy of G.R. no 2696/14-4-09 P.D. with its enclosures accompaniment is forwarded.

W.D. Lumsden
Asst Pol. Agent

Send to a Dr
with a all interest
Copy put up

Sir

No. 4580/09 Dt 10/8/09.

Letter to pr no above

Please the honor to enclose 5 copies of the notification (referred to in forwarding pr. P. A's. no 2827 of 23-4-09) with the date of publication received therein

2.) It is desirable to introduce the Insolvency Act III of 1907 into the Sarawan State as the old civil P. Code has been repealed. A separate refer on this is being made on the subject of applying this & other Acts to the State

20/7 wh

Please

civil clerk to take note. 11/8/09

Diwan

noted. 14-8-09.

Hawkins
Karbari

File

Civil

Introduction of the Civil Procedure Code (Act V of 1908 and the Limitation Act (IX of 1908) into the Savanur - -State.

NO. 1850 of the 18th March 1909.

From, ----C. Hudson, Esquire, Collector and Political Agent Dharwar;

To, ------The Commissioner, S. D.

I have the honour to enclose a draft notification declaring the marginally noted enactments to be in force in the Savanur State, and to request the favour of - your kindly obtaining the sanction of Government.

(1) Civil Procedure Code Act V of 1908.
(2) Limitation Act NO. IX of 1908.

Endorsement by M.C.Gibb, Esquire, Commissioner, S.D., NO. Pol.-66, dated the 22nd March 1909.

Submitted to Government for favour of sanction.

NO. 2696.

Political Department.

Bombay Castle, 14th April 1909.

Resolution.-The introduction of the Civil Procedure Code (Act V of 1908) and the Limitation Act (IX of 1908) into - the Savanur State is sanctioned.

2. Three copies of the Notification appended to this Resolution should be forwarded to Government with the date of publication recorded thereon.

3. The Collector and Political Agent, Dharwar, should be requested to report whether, in view of the repeal of the - old Civil Procedure Code, it is not desirable to introduce also the Insolvency Act (III of 1907) into the Savanur State

Sd/. J.E.B.Hotson.

Under Secretary to Government.

To,

The Commissioner, S.D.
The Collector and Political Agent, Dharwar.
~~Pol 451 - 1~~

P.T.O.

NO. 2827 of 1909.

Dharwar, 23rd April 1909.

Copy forwarded for information and guidance to the Divan - of Savanur and for compliance with paras 2 and 3 of the --- Resolution.

2. Five copies of the Notification should be submitted.

Through A.P.A.

Sd/. C. Hudson.
Collector and Political Agent,
Dharwar.

"TRUE COPY"

For Collector and Political Agent,
Dharwar.

~~Kar~~ Kanarese ~~K~~ copies of the accompanying ~~Unaccompanying~~ Notification sent to V.Os for publication on the 26th inst in their respective villages.

20/7/09.

Divan Saheb

Civil-

Accompaniment to Government Resolution, Political Department, NO. 2696, dated the 14th April 1909.

NOTIFICATION.

The Administrator of the Savanur State notifies on be-

(1) Act NO.V of 1908--An Act to consolidate and amend the laws relating to the procedure of the Courts of Civil Judicature.
(2) Act NO.IX of 1908 --An Act to consolidate and amend the law of the limitation of suits, and for other purposes.

half of the minor Nawab of Savanur that the marginally noted acts shall, mutatis mutandis and so far as they may be applicable come into force in the Savanur State with effect from the date of the publication of this notification.

2. The powers to be exercised by the Governor-General in Council, or the Local Government or Government, wherever mention is made thereof in the said Acts, should be exercised by the Administrator of the Savanur State as representing the minor Nawab and all references to British India in the said Acts should be read as including the Savanur State.

Sd/. V. N. Sathaye.
For Political Agent, Dharwar.

~~Pol-451--B.~~

"TRUE COPY"

For Political Agent, Dharwar.

Circ

మీరు ఉన్న కార్యాలయములకు సంబంధించి జ్ఞాపిక నెం 2696 తేదీ 14-11-1907 గల రద్దు చేయడమైనది

మూలధన శీర్షికలు -

మీరు అప్పులు మరియు వాగ్దానములు జరపవలసియుండి నట్లయిన మరియు ఆస్తి మరియు సిబ్బందిని బదిలీ చేసినట్లయిన క్రింద నంతే -

(1) నేను 1908 నవంబరు నెలలో ఇచ్చిన ఆజ్ఞ - విశాఖపట్నం - (పట్టిక కు గమనించగలరు).

(2) నేను 1908 నవంబరు నెలలో ఇచ్చిన ఆజ్ఞ - కడప ఆజ్ఞ (పరిశీలించగలరు).

} ఉపయోగించు జాబితా మరియు ఆర్థిక వివరాలు మరియు శాఖలు రికార్డులు మరియు సిబ్బంది మరియు లావాదేవీలు మరియు కలిగిన మరి ఇతరములు

క్రింగ్ గారు చదువగలరు.

2. నిర్వహణ శాఖలు మరియు నిర్వహణ అధికారులు ఉన్నట్లయితే, వారి శాఖ నిర్వహణ అధికారి నియమించుట కు మరియు అధికారి కార్యక్రమ అప్పులు మరియు వాగ్దానములు మరియు ఆస్తి మరియు సిబ్బంది బదిలీ చేయుట - మరియు నిర్వహణ శాఖలు ఉన్నట్లయితే ఆంతరంగిక ఉత్తరువులు మరియు ఆంతరంగిక ఉత్తరువులు ఇవ్వబడినవి

సి. డి. యస్. దీక్షితులు
జి. హెచ్. యస్. రావు

[illegible]

[illegible] 24 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

V. Divakar

Civil

ನಿಯಮಗಳನ್ನು ಅನುಸರಿಸಿ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಉತ್ತರಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ.

ಸಂಬಳ ಪಾವತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಚಿಲ್ಲರೆ ವ್ಯಾಪಾರಿಯೊಬ್ಬನು ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಇಟ್ಟಿದ್ದ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರನು ಅಳವಡಿಸಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದ ಮೋಸದ ಲೆಕ್ಕಗಳ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾವೆ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಕೆಲಸಗಾರನು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಹಣ ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಾನೆಂದು ಆರೋಪಿಸಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನು ಪ್ರತಿದಾವೆ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಕಾರಣಗಳನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಪ್ರತಿದಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(೧) ಬಾಯಿಮಾತಿನ ಒಪ್ಪಂದ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು ಕಾನೂನಿನ ದೃಷ್ಟಿಯಿಂದ ಸರಿಯಾದುದಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕೆಳಗಿನ ತೀರ್ಪುಗಳು ಹೇಳುತ್ತವೆ.

(೨) ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಮುಗಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಮಾನ ಇಟ್ಟ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಡಮಾನದಾರನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಲೇಬೇಕು. ಆದರೆ ಅಡಮಾನ ಇಟ್ಟ ಆಸ್ತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಡಮಾನದಾರನು ಹಿಂತಿರುಗಿಸಿದ ಪಕ್ಷದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಡಮಾನ ಇಟ್ಟ ಆಸ್ತಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಅಡಮಾನದಾರನು ಹಕ್ಕು ಸಾಧಿಸಲಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

(೩) ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಹಣ ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದನೆಂದು ಆರೋಪಿಸಿ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನು ದಾವೆ ಹೂಡಿದ್ದಾನೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅಂಗಡಿಯವನು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಮೇಲೆ ಹೂಡಿದ ದಾವೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯು ಅಂಗಡಿಯ ಹಣ ಕಳ್ಳತನ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದನೆಂದು ಸಾಬೀತಾಗಿದೆ.

ಅಂತಿಮ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಅಳವಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಕಲಿತಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಿದ್ದಿರುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಧಾರಗಳನ್ನು ಸಹ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅಥವಾ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಅರ್ಜಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕೇಳಿರುವ ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಮತ್ತು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂದು ಕಂಡುಬರುತ್ತದೆ.

(4) ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಸರು ಸೇರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಆದರೆ ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿದೆ.

(5) ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಸ್ಥಳಾಂತರಗೊಂಡಿದ್ದು ಅವರ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರ ವಿಳಾಸ ಬದಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

(6) ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಅಪ್ರಾಪ್ತರು ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ. ಅಂದರೆ ಅವರ ವಯಸ್ಸು ಮತದಾನ ಮಾಡಲು ಅರ್ಹತೆ ಹೊಂದಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದೆ.

(7) ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಒಂದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಹೆಸರು ಸೇರಿಸಲ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ.

ಆದುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಮೃತಪಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವರ ವಿಳಾಸ ಬದಲಾಗಿದೆ ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವರು ಅಪ್ರಾಪ್ತರು ಮತ್ತು ಕೆಲವರ ಹೆಸರು ಒಂದಕ್ಕಿಂತ ಹೆಚ್ಚು ಸ್ಥಳದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಗೊಂಡಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ ಹೆಸರು ಮತ್ತು ವಿಳಾಸ ಬದಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳು ಅಪ್ರಾಪ್ತರು ಎಂಬ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಲಭ್ಯವಾಗಿದ್ದು ಮತದಾರರ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸೇರ್ಪಡೆಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕೆಲವು ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಗಳ

Civil

Below application of the Bailiff of the Subdivision H.E. Sarawak for promotion

I forwarded with compliments to the A. P. Agent.

2) The pay of the Bailiff was fixed in 1894 at R 9 p.m. Since then prices have risen very high, & living has become very dear

3) The pay of the Bailiffs in the Lower Civil Courts, ranges from R 9 to 25. Higher grades are R 9, 12, 15, 20 & 25 as will be seen from the letter of the Hon'ble

4) The villages in the State are scattered those which are most distant ~~from~~ Sarawak are 20 miles from Sarawak

5) The Rajah of the Subordinate Judge has no independent Kerkun to assist him. The Bailiff is addressed to his legitimate work of serving processes of the Sarawak & adjoining British Courts

does a deal of clerical work under the Magistrate.

6) Since the appointment of two conciliators under the D. A. Relief Act in the state, the work of the Bailiff has increased, as will be seen from the accompanying statement I.

7) The pay of the Dewan's establishment has recently been revised on account of high prices of food grains. The pay of the peons also has been increased.

8) The Bailiff gets no Bhatta whereas the Head Masters, while the peons do get it.

9) The Bailiff has given no promotion since his appointment.

10) He has done good work & deserves some encouragement.

11) In these circumstances, the Govt would respectfully propose as follows

(a) The pay of the post may be made Rs 9 to Rs 15 by annual increment of Re 1, as in the case of the Karkuns of the Dewan's establishment.

(b) The Bailiff may be promoted to Rs 12 at once

The pay of the Dewan's establishment was revised in September 1909. The Karkuns have already got two increments & they will earn their third increment in September next. The case of the Bailiff was not considered by an oversight, at the time when the pay of the Karkuns was revised.

His pay would have risen to Rs 12 in Sept next if his case was dealt with in Sept 1909. The matter will take some time before it is sanctioned by the Commissioner S.D.

12) There is provision in the Budget for the current year for the proposed increase in the pay of the Bailiff

Secretary
7-7-11

Regent Council
Diwan

D. Sec
9/11
For orders

Forwarded with compliments to the Political Agent - Dharwar -

(2). The case of the bailiff has apparently overlooked when the pay of the rest of the Dwan's establishment was revised in 1909. He certainly seems to have a case for increment as the cost of living has increased during recent years; his work is increasing; & his record is a good one.

(3). The Dwan's proposal that the pay of the post should be made progressive from Rs. 9 to Rs. 15 - ~~to a~~ ~~seemed me - as it will give the~~ rising by annual increments of Rs. 1-/- is a sound one - as it will give the man some encouragement - & is based on the same principle as ruled the revision of the pay of the Dwan's establishment. In view of the present incumbent's long & useful service he should be given special promotion to Rs. 12 at once - which he would be running now, if his case had been dealt with in 1909.

(4). Underpaid as the Lamani is, I repeat that the sanction of the Commissioner's & the alms proposals may kindly be obtained -

12/7/1911 A. P. A.

Signed 547

apply for sanction

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE
6873
17 JUL 1911
Government
DHARWAR DISTRICT

ದಿಂ ಚಿಂ Civil

61

ಮಾಲ್ಕೆ. ನಳ್ಳ ಕಟ್ಟ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುವ ಸಮಯ
ರನ್ನು ನಿಲ್ಲಿಸಿ ನ.ನ. ನಳ್ಳ ಕಟ್ಟ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಬೇಕು

ನ.ನಿ.ಇಲಾಖೆ ಸುಣ್ಣದ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ
ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರೂ ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧ ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ
ಸಾಕಷ್ಟು ಸಿಮೆಂಟ ಇಲ್ಲದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಕೆಲಸಗಾರರಿಗೆ
ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಕಷ್ಟವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ
ಕೆಲಸಕ್ಕೆ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ ಅಥವಾ ಸಿಮೆಂಟ ಅಗತ್ಯವಿರುವುದರಿಂದ
ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು ಅನುಕೂಲ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಕೋರಿದೆ.
ಇದೇ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರಗಳೊಂದಿಗೆ, ತಮಿಚ್ಛ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ
P.C. ಸುಬ್ಬಯ್ಯ.

ಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೆ

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಸ್ಥಾಯಿ ಸಮಿತಿ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ

ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಲು - ಬದಲಾಗಿ ಕಟ್ಟಡ ಕಟ್ಟಲು

ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ (00) ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಅಂದಾಜು ಹಿಡಿಕೆ 95
(ಸ)

ಸಿಮೆಂಟ ಕಾಂಕ್ರೀಟ (00) (ಸ) ಅಂದಾಜು ಮೊತ್ತ

Unknown to the
clerk of the court

Wait for a few
to see how for the
Beneficiary is interested
by the attachment of

ಮೂಲಾಂಶ - ಕಸಿಮಾಡಿದ ಕೆಲವು ಸಸ್ಯಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ವಿವರ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಇರುವುದು ಕಸಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಸಸ್ಯಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನಂತೆ ಇರುವುದು

ಯಾವ ಸಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿದವು	ಸಸಿಮಾಡಿದ ಕೊಡಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವವು	ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಡಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಇದ್ದವುಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಟ್ಟಿದವು	ಸಸಿಮಾಡಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಳಗಿನವು	ಅಂತ್ಯ	ಷರಾ
ಸಾ 1908 ಇಸ್ವಿ ಸಾಲಿನ ಮೂ	244	82	0	326	
ಸಾ 1909 ಇಸ್ವಿ ಮೂ	111	110	0	221	
ಸಾ 1910 ಇಸ್ವಿ ಮೂ	185	195	13	380	
1911 ಇಸ್ವಿ ಜನವರಿ ಇಂದ ಜೂನ್ ತಿಂಗಳ ಅಖೈರು ವರೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದು	30	56	56	142	This is only for 5 months

Rameshwar Dwan

Civil

Duplicate

Reply to letter No. 5748 of 24th July 1911, from the Collector & Political Agent, Dharwar.

No.Poll- 197 of 1911.

Belgaum, 31st July 1911.

The Divan's proposals to make the pay of the bailiff's post progressive i.e. rising from the minimum of Rs9 to the maximum of Rs15 by annual increments of Re.1/- each and to promote the present bailiff at once to Rs12/- are sanctioned.

Sd/ W. D. Sheppard

Commissioner,
Southern Division.

No 6265 of 1911.

Dharwar, 8th August 1911.

Copy forwarded to the Divan of Savanur for information and guidance.

G. Macfeyon
Ag. Collector &
Political Agent.

ASSISTANT POLITICAL AGENT'S
In No. 391
Date 11/8/11
DHARWAR

11/8/11

Through the Assistant Political Agent with reference to his Ver. no. 6.00.8547 of 12-7-1911.

No 441 of 1911

Camp. Dharwar 12th August 1911

Forwarded to the Diwan of Sawantwadi with ref. to his ver: No. D. 2.2 of 8.941 of 7-7-1911.

A. L. Agent

14th August 1911

No 571 of 1911

The Bailiff Magistrate 14th August 1911 forwards B12 from the 1st August 1911 with ref to yr no (above)

Sir

I have the honor to request that the Vern. cover ending with this after no D. L. A 941 of 7-7-11 may kindly be returned

14-8-11

Thanks

Reply to No. 444 of 18th August 1911
from the Assistant Political Agent
Savanur.

No. 6739 of 1911

Dharwar, 25th August 1911

The correspondence ending with his vernacular No. S.S. Sw. C. 547 dated 10th July 1911 is herewith returned.

Corrce req.
Increment of Barly's pay at Savanur.

417
30/8/11

Kirkpatrick,
for Political Agent
Dharwar

Recd
26-8-11

No 463 of 1911.

Dharwar. 4th August 1911.

Forwarded with the Correspondence to the Diwan of Savanur with ref. to his No 571 dated 14th Instant

Fil

A.P. Kumar,
A.P. Agent.

Rep with pe
Pl 8/9/11 In No 910.

Memorandum.

The Political Agent, Savanur, is -- pleased to issue to Mr. Narayenrao Vinayekrao Kale, Pleader practising in the Haveri Sub--Judge's Court, a Sanad authorising him to --- practise as a Pleader in the Political Agent's and all subordinate Courts in the Agency. This Sanad will be considered in force from 1st --- November 1910 to 31st October ~~1910.~~ 1911.

Dharwar;
21-10-1910.

Sd/. C. Hudson.
Political Agent, Savanur.

****

No. 7953 of 1910.
Dharwar; 25th October 1910.

Copy forwarded for information.

For Political Agent,
Savanur.

To,

The Assistant Political Agent, Savanur.
The Devan of Savanur.
Mr. N.V. ~~K.~~ Kale, Pleader Haveri.
V. O.

Inf. 21-10 No. Ju. Ro. 1003.

noted 29-10-1910.

Cert

No. 3741 of 1911.

Dharwar, 17th May 1911.

Memorandum:-

The Political Agent Savanur is hereby pleased to issue a sanad to Mr. Narayanrao Subliker District Pleader Dharwar, authorising him to practice as a pleader in all the courts of this Agency that is, in all original and appellate cases.

This sanad should be considered in force from 1st May 1911.

G. Macpherson

Political Agent Dharwar.

Copy forwarded for information guidance.

To:-

The Assistant Political Agent Savanur.

The Diwan of Savanur.

V.O.

Mr. Narayanrao Subliker of Subli, Pleader Subli.

No. 575

Noted 22/5/11

Dy. Registrar

1/5/11

Civil

No. 3979 of 1911.
Dharwar, 26th May 1911.

MEMORANDUM:-

The Political Agent Savanur is hereby pleased to issue a Sanad to Mr. Gururao Subrao Haktali -- District Pleader Haveri, authorising him to practice as a pleader in all Courts of this Agency, Viz, in all original and appeal cases.

This Sanad should be considered in force from 27th April 1911.

Political Agent Savanur.

Copy to:-

The Assistant Political Agent Savanur.
The Divan of Savanur.
V. C. for information.
Mr. Gururao Subrao Haktali Pleader Haveri for information and guidance.

Mr Bhaskarrao to take note in future of Pleaders who have letters sanads

Noted & returned
28/5/11

No. 5466 of 1911.

Dharwar, 17th July 1911.

Memorandum.

The Political Agent, Savanur, is hereby pleased to issue a Sanad to Mr. Vasudev Deveker Patel, Pleader, Savanur, authorizing him to practise as a ----- pleader in all Courts of this Agency viz: in all original and appeal cases.

This Sanad should be considered in force from the 28th June 1911.

Political Agent, Savanur.

To,

Mr. Vasudev Deveker Patel, Pleader, Savanur,

for information and guidance.

The Assistant Political Agent, Savanur.

The V. O.

The Divan of Savanur.

No. 734,

Noted

24/7/1911

Application for the exercise of Revisional Jurisdiction

No. 7 of 1911.

Civil

Dharwar (Savanur).

Hanmantgowda bin Basangowda ..... Applicant.

vs.

Pirsaheb Vd. Miyasaheb ..... Opponent.

No. 8392.

Political Department.

Bombay Castle; 1st November 1911.

Memorandum.

The undersigned presents compliments to the ----- Collector & Political Agent, Dharwar, and with reference --- to his memorandum No.7035 dated the 30th August 1911, is directed to request that the petitioner Hanmantgowda bin ---- Basangowda may be informed that Government have rejected his application for the exercise of their revisional jurisdiction in respect of the Political Agent's decision dated the 27th June 1911 regarding a dispute about the use of a customary right of way.

Sd. K. BARLEE.

Under Secretary to Government.

Copy forwarded to:-

The Commissioner,

Southern Division, with reference to his memorandum No. Pol/244 dated the 14th September 1911.

****

No. 8616 of 1911.

Dharwar; 9th November 1911.

Copy forwarded to the Divan of Savanur, who is requested to communicate the purport of the above order to the ---- applicant.

Political Agent, Dharwar.

Copy — The applicant is informed of the above orders. So this to be filed.

Divan.

No. 768 of 1911

Swamy.

To,

The Asst. Agent.
Dhr.

Sir,

I have the honor to return this office No 672 of 9-10-11 recd with your No 584 of 6/11/11 (being apparently misent).

The plans & estimates for the works referred to therein have all been recd approved & the letter ref. to above may be filed in your office

I have the honor &c

Divan Sahib

Cust

No. T. 26 of 1911.

Camp Haveri, 18 November 1911.

Memorandum.

The Political Agent, Savanur State, ---- is pleased to issue to Mr. Narayanrao Vinayakrao Kale, Pleader, Haveri, a Sanad authorizing him to practise as a Pleader in the Political Agent's and all subordinate Courts of the Agency. This Sanad will be considered in force from 1st November 1911 to 31st October 1912.

Political Agent, Savanur.

To

The Assistant Political Agent, Savanur.

The Divan of Savanur.

Mr. N. V. Kale, Pleader, Haveri.

V. O.

Cert

No. 5465 of 1911.

Cuddapah 17th July 1911.

To:-

The Political Agent Cavemur is hereby pleased to inform Mr. to Mr. Krishnaswamy Karunatharao Naidu --- ... ... Pleader Cuddapah authorised him to practice as a pleader in all courts of the Agency viz. in all criminal and special cases. This order should be considered in force from 17th June 1911.

G. Shepherd

Political Agent Cavemur.

Copy to:-

The Assistant Political Agent Cavemur.

The Diwan of Cavemur.

J. A. for information

Mr. Krishnaswamy Karunatharao Naidu --- ... ... Pleader Cuddapah for information and guidance.

Notes to Pl. 28/7/11

Dr. (P) 33.

Noted

28/7/11

Clerk

Civil

No. 4275 of 1911.

Dharwar; 7th June 1911.

Memorandum:-

The Political Agent Savanur is hereby pleased to issue a sanad to Mr. A. F. Pathan District pleader Dharwar, - authorising him to practice as a pleader in all Courts of this agency, Viz. in all original and appellate courts for one year - from the date of Sanad.

Political Agent
Savanur.

To

The Assistant Political Agent Savanur.

The Divan of Savanur.

V. O. for information.

Mr. Pathan Pleader Dharwar for information and guidance.

No. 576

Noted & returned
9/6/11
Karkun

Notice Civil

Copy

Civil Appeal No. 1/1912.

In the court of the District Judge & Political Agent - Savanur.

1 Abdullakhan wd. Lalkhan Khanjade deceased by his son Wajid Alikhan wd. Abdulla Khan Khanjade of Savanur. } Appellant (original defendant No 2)

Against

1 Khalilkhan wd Hayatkhan Morabkhas of Savanur } Respondent (original plaintiff)

Claim Rs 1133-5-4

Mr Bhimacharya Rajachur, pleader, Savanur, (pleader for the above named appellant) is hereby given notice that the court wishes to further hear him on the following points:-

(1) whether the lower court ought to have framed an issue about the status of defendant No 2 being an

agriculturist;

(2) whether the onus of proving consideration of mortgage bond in suit ought not to have been thrown by the Lower Court upon the plaintiff in view of the fact that the defendant was not a party to the deed;

(3) Whether in view of the fact that the mortgagor was an elderly purdanashin lady and the plaintiff-mortgagee her grand-daughter's (son's daughter) husband, the latter was in a position of active confidence and whether the burden of proof should on that ground also have been thrown on him;

and any other points incidental thereto.

The pleader is therefore hereby directed to appear before the court on the 18th day 1911 (Saturday) at about 12 o noon and afterwards

for arguing the said points.

Given under my hand and the seal of the court this ninth day of May 1912

sd E. Macconochie
District Judge & Political Agent, Savanur.

No 3418 of 1911

18/5/12.

Forwarded to the Subjudge & Sitan Savanur for service & return

sd E. Macconochie
Dist. Judge & Pol. Agent
Savanur

In No 501
13/5/12

Copy. for personal.

R. C.

No. 1431.

Civil

Political Department,

Bombay Castle, 14th February 1912.

To.

The Collector & Political Agent,

Dharwar.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 9292, dated the 6th December 1911, I am directed to request that the appellants may be asked to submit their remarks if any on any points of law arising out of your findings on the issues sent down to you for decision. They may be told that Government will not con[illegible] further arguments directed [illegible] findings of fact.

I have

sd. K. B[illegible]

Under Secretary

(Through the Commissioner,

No. Poll-49 of [illegible]

Camp Khanapur, 21st [illegible]

Forwarded with comple[illegible]

sd. W.D.

Commis[illegible]

—o—

No. 1591 of 1912

Dharwar, 8th [illegible]

Copy forwarded with com[illegible]

the Dwan of Savanur with a request to ask the appellants Abdul Munwarkhan and two others to submit their re-
-marks on any points of law arising out of the findings of the undersigned on the following issues:-

Issue.	Finding.
(1). Did Abdul Khairkhan execute a will leaving the pension now claimed to the Plaintiff.	In the affirmative.
(2). If so did the defendants consent after Abdul Khair-Khan's death to the of the will by or otherwise - does the pension quired exceed one Abdul Khairkhan's moveable or im-le.	In the negative.

Dwan is further requested
to appellants of the Govern-
contained in the last
the Government letter.

Kublin
for District Judge, Savanur.

Dat

Inward 245.
12-3-12

No 147 of 1912. Civil

2

14/3/12

The P.A. to Dist Judge

Sawantwadi

Sir

With reference to yr endorsement No 1591 of 8-3-12 I have the honor to report that appellant Abdul Rahimatkhan died a few days ago. & that it may be necessary to substitute the name of his next heir in his place before any further action is taken

2) I beg to ask for instructions if the order of limit should be communicated to the other appellants before the name of the next heir is substituted in place of deceased Abdul Rahimatkhan & to request that the names of the other appellants may kindly be supplied to this office

Yours &c

14-3-12

No 2188 of 2/4/12

Returned to the Diwan with a request to state the date on which the appellant Abdul Munawarkhan died.

Sd/- G. Maconochie

Dist. & Political Agent

~~Below no 2188 of 2nd April 1912 from the Political Agent and Dist. judge.~~

No 187 of 1912

Savanur 5th April 1912

Returned with compliments.

2) Mr. Abdul Munawarkhan died on 12th March 1912.

Sd/- Rajerao Vithal

Diwan Savanur

No 2616 of 1912

15/4/1912

Returned.

2. The orders of Government may be communicated to the other appellants.

(3) As regards the deceased appellant, it rests with his heir or heirs to apply for being brought on record.

Sd/- G. Macnaghie

Dist. Judge & Political Agent

215 Pigr

Resubmitted with compliments.

2) As requested in this office No. 147 of 14-3-12 above the names of the other appelants may kindly be supplied to this office. All

the case papers have been already forwarded to the Political Agent.

Savanur
17-4-12

OC - Divan Savanur
ref

No. 2985 of 1912
26-4-1912

Returned.

2) The S.J. Divan of Savanur is requested to get the Genealogy of the deceased's family produced & see who of the present relatives of the deceased would be his legal heirs according to the Mahomedan law.

S. G. Inamdar
D.J. & P.A.
Savanur

No. 271 of 1912

Resubmitted with compliments

2) A Genealogical tree of the deceased's family as given by Abdul

Namarkhan one of the appellants is enclosed.

3) The other appellant Abdul Rahimankhan minor by his guardian mother Ahmedbibi refused to sign the notice issued to her asking her to give a genealogy as will be seen from the report of the Mulki Havalbar.

Sawanur
4-5-12

Dy. S.J.J.C

No 3394 of 11-5-1912.

Returned to the Subordinate & Dewan of Sawanur

As has already been intimated by this office no 2616 of 15-4-12, it is the duty of whoever may be the legal representative of the deceased appellant to apply for being brought on record. No such application has been yet received by this office to be forwarded

to the fact. The Diwan need therefore take no further steps as regards genealogies & is requested to submit the remarks called for in this endorsement no 1591 of 8-3-1912 from the remaining appellants, if they produce any.

Sd) G. Macrochie.
D. J. & P. Agent
Sarawak

Dr-to 500
13/6/12
L.G.

No 392 of 1912

Resubmitted with the compliments

2) These papers were received when the a/s had gone to Oolu on state business & were shown by the Head Karkun today.

3) The other two appellants appear to be
(1) Abdul Rahiman Khan minor by his guardian mother Aluncobik Kom. Abdul Karim Khan & Abdul Rahman Khan and Kasim Khan.

They have been informed of

the orders of Govt & have been asked to submit their remarks, if any as noted in the Dist judge's letter no 1591 of 8-3-1912 on before the 30th instant.

4) The a/s may thus be informed if the appellants are those named above, as requested in this office no 215 of 17-4-12 above

Savanur
21-6-12

Dewan & Inspector General

O.C.

No 6825 of 1912
27 6 12

Returned to the Dewan

2) The Dewan is hereby requested not correspondences for long unnecessarily as is done in the present case. Presumably the Dewan is aware of the names of the other appellants as is seen from his no 271 above 8-5-12 to which no objection

has been taken by this office
He is informed now too that they
(viz Abdul Rahiman khan minor by
guardian mother Ahmad bibi Jewaje
Abdul Karimkhan & Abdul Nawabkhan
wd Abdul Ahsankhan) are the remaining
two appellants & is requested to submit
their remarks, if any, without any
further delay.

sd/ G. C. Shannon
for Dist Judge & Pol Agent

The P. Agent has given an adjour-
-ment to the applicants - up to 8th July 1912
Await till then Vide G. in A 121/26-6-11 received today
30-6-12 sd/R.V. [illegible]
Diwan

No 450 of 1912

Resubmitted with compli-
-ments

2) The U/S was not quite
sure who the appellants were, as
all the case papers were submitted
to the P. Agent & Dist Judge.

3) The remarks of the appellants received to-day are enclosed.

4) Letter S-in A $\frac{121}{28\text{-}6\text{-}12}$ from the P. Agent granting an adjournment to the appellants is enclosed

Dir of Survey

Dir

No - 393 of 1912 Civil

Memo under instructions from the Pol. Agent & Dist Judge vide memo No. 3394 of 11-5-1912 contained in correspondence ending with his memo the marginally noted Appellants

1 Abdul Rahimankhan minor by guardian Ahmad Ali
Juaje Abdul Karimkhan
2 Abdul Nawarkhan

are asked to submit their if any remarks on any points of law

arising out of the findings of the Pol-Agent & District judge Savanur. on the following issues.

2) The Appellants are further informed that Government will not consider any further arguments directed against findings of fact.

Issue.	Finding.
(1) Did Abdul Khairkhan execute a will leaving the property now claimed to the plff -	In the affirmative
(2) If so, did the defts consent after Ab. Khairkhan's death	

to the terms of the will — In the negative
by conduct or otherwise.

(3) If not, does the pension — In the negative
now claimed exceed one third
of Abdul Khairkhan's estate
moveable or immoveable.

O. C. Ali
No 393 of 1912

Certified to Abdul Rahman the minister hereof

A. Lamarkhan

for compliance, by or before
the 30th of June 1912

Ali
21/6/12

Respectfully,

Mr Fox [illegible]

are to his duty today:

but he is absent. So there

is delay in disposing of this

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1916

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

R. Sir,

In my enquiry with
Pharaoh to whom
I refer, I gave it is
said he ~~[illegible]~~ Kept these
[illegible] papers, like
were lie on my table. But
(these papers)
I hadn't seen them, till [illegible]
On his information these
papers are [illegible].

Other [illegible] of the [illegible]
[illegible]

In this
case to be [illegible] noted
as the papers are [illegible]
out in the papers at [illegible]
were ~~be~~ filed in the Exp[illegible]
Department -

21.6.12.

I cannot [illegible] this with
out the P.C.-P.As and issued
No 1591 of 8.3.12.
This is very clear.

[illegible]
7/6

Urgent

Civil

No. 1591 of 1912.

Dharwar; 15th June 1912.

Memorandum.

This office endst No 1591 of 8-3-12 below Govt No 1431 of 14-2-12 Pol. Department asking to submit remarks of appellant if any on any points of law arising out of this office findings on the third issues in Savanur civil second appeal No 2 of 1911

Appellant:- Abdul Munawar Khan & two others
vs
Respondent - Jamalbi Begum Abdul Latif Khan Bahadur.

Requests an early reply to this -- office reference noted in the -- margin.

Abdul Latif
for Collector of Dharwar.

To

The Dewan of Savanur & Pol. Agent Savanur

Requests in this office No 592 21-6-12

Abdul Latif

Circ

Letter No. 4871 dated 17th June 1912 from the Secretary to Government, Political Department, Bombay, to the --- Collector & Political Agent, Dharwar.

****

Subject:- Submission by the appellants of their remarks if any on any points of law arising out of the findings of the Collector & Political Agent, Dharwar, on the issues stated in ---- Government Resolution No. 6292 dated 8th --- September 1911.

" I am directed to invite attention to the marginally --- noted communication and to request that Govern--ment may be informed of the cause of delay in ~~furning~~ furnishing the appellants' remarks and of the approximate date on which they may be expected.

Govt. letter No. 1431 dated the 4th February 1912.

"I am also to request that the reply to this reminder may be sent not later than the 27th June 1912. "

****

URGENT.

No. 4707 of 1912.
Dharwar; 21/6 June 1912.

Copy forwarded to the Divan of Savanur for --- early compliance with reference to this office endorsement No. 1591 of 8th March 1912.

For Collector & Poll. Agent,
Dharwar.

Inwd 605
24/6/12

No 6038/1912

Encls

- bothrief to yr endorsement C
No (above) I have the honor to request
reference to correspondence ending with this office no 392/2602
2) The remarks of the appellants

will be forwarded as soon as they are rec'd.

Thanks

24/6/12

Below Telegram dated 28-6-1912 (Civil) from the Collector of Dharwar asking to expedite a reply to his no. 4707/21-6-1912

No 416 of 1912

Resubmitted with compliments

2) The reply to the P.A's no 4707 of 21-6-12 was sent with this office no 403 of 24-6-12, & the reply to the P.A's no 1590 of 8-3-12 was sent with correspondence ending with this office no 392 of 21-6-12.

3) The orders of Government have been communicated to the appellants & they have been asked to submit their remarks on or before the 30th of June 1912. The names of the appellants may kindly be communicated as requested

in parah of this office No 392 of 21.6.12

4) The delay is due to correspondence as to the entry of the names of the heirs of one of the appellants (Muttuwaakkan) who died on 12-3-12

Savam
25-6-12
OC

Dwan

Circ

Below Veri: application dated 26-6-1912 from Abdul Namurkhan one of the appellants asking for an adjournment for 15 days to submit his remarks in a case of appeal pending before High Court.

No 420 of 1912

Forwarded to the P. Agent & Dist Judge for favour of orders with reference to correspondence ending with this office noo 392 & 21-6-12

W.3. 24-6-12 Showing 26-6-12 } Pkr. Dwan

O.S.

Civil

STAMP OFFICE
ANNAS-8
BANGALORE

20 FEB 1972

45 925

26/6/72

[illegible]

[illegible]

955

[illegible]

[illegible]

COLLECTOR & MAGISTRATE'S OFFICE
6643
27 JUN 1912
Savanur
DHARWAR DISTRICT

No 421 of 1912

Forwarded to the Political Agent & District Magistrate for favour of orders with reference to correspondence ending with this office Nos 392/21-6-12 & 403/24-6-12

Savanur 26/6/12

Recommended
Dewan & Subahdar

No 4926 of 1912
Sd. June 12
Judge

Returned.

The Dewan's attention is drawn to this office vernacular No Savanur mulki Awak 121 of 28-6-12 below the application submitted by the appellants direct to this office.

Rep
with case paper
No 702
3-7-12
Rlw

[illegible]
for Dist Magte

Office Order.

Memo:-

Mr. D.R.Betgeri Huzur Chitnis, Savanur, is appointed as Nazir and Clerk of the District --- Court, Savanur.

Savanur,
24th December 1913.

Sd/ Abdul Majid Khan.
Lt.
N A W A B .

No. 709 of 1913.
Savanur, 24 December 1913.

Copy forwarded for information & guidance -

Abdul Majid Khan
Lt.
N A W A B .

To

Sr No 906

The Sub Judge First Class, Savanur.
Mr. D.R.Betgeri Huzur Chitnis.

File
Sheran Savanur 24-12-13

No. 714

MEMO:

Mr. Gangadhar Anant Jogalekar B.A. L.L.B. Pleader, Dharwar is hereby authorized -- to practise as a pleader in all the Courts of -- this State for one year from the 20th Instant -- on which he paid Rs 30/- as fees.

Savanur,

24th December 1913. Sd/ Abdul Majid Khan.

Lt.

NAWAB.

To

Mr. G. A. Jogalekar B.A.L.L.B., Dharwar.

No. 715 of 1913.

Savanur, 24th December 1913

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Divan of Savanur for information.

Lt.

NAWAB.

24.12.13

File

Divan

Civil

No. 141

23rd March 1914.

Memo.

Mr. Narayanrao Vinayak Kale Pleader is allowed to practise in all the Courts of this State for a period of one year ending 25th February 1915.

Lt.
Nawab of Savanur

To.

Mr. N.V.Kale Pleader Haveri.
The Divan& SubJudge Savanur. for information
" " 193 7/11

In No
290

23/3/14

Civil Kachari to note -
Divan

Noted.
23/3/14

File
Divan
28/3

Court

[G. 6.

B. D.

No. 1439

30/3/14

GOVERNMENT CENTRAL PRESS:

Bombay, 27 March 1914.

Reply of the Superintendent, Government Central Press to letter 207 dated 23rd Instant from the Diwan of Savanur

Has the honour to invite attention to item No. 3 below.

NO 322

for Superintendent,
Government Central Press.

1. The publication asked for _____ not available in this office.

2. The publication asked for _____ being _____. It cannot be said when it will be ready. / His requisition has been registered.

3. Not ~~issued from this office~~ / ~~published as yet~~ Returned: please refer to The Registrar of the High Court Appellate Side Bombay.

4. The particular language of the publication should be intimated.

5. The particular _____ of the _____ required should be intimated.

6. The publication _____ sent per Book / Registered Post on the _____ to his / the address of _____

Enquiries should be made at the _____ Post Office and the result communicated to this Press.

7. The work referred to will be supplied on or about the _____

8. Please quote the No. and designation on the wrapper under which a copy of the Indian Law Reports / *Bombay Government Gazette* is received.

9. Letter / Memo. No. _____ dated _____ from _____ _____ is forwarded for disposal.

10. Letter / Memo. No. _____ dated _____ from the _____ _____ has been forwarded to _____ _____ for disposal.

11. His _____ No. _____ dated _____ does not appear to have been received in this office; a copy may kindly be furnished.

12. Requests early return of proof sheets of _____ _____ forwarded for approval on _____

13. The undermentioned publication will be forwarded on receipt of Rs. _____ _____

14. _____ _____ _____

Circ

No. 207 of 1914.

Savanur, 23rd March 1914.

From, RJ D 6265 26-3-14

The Divan of Savanur,

S A V A N U R .

To

The Superintendent, Government Central Press, Bombay .

Sir,

UC 25/3

I have the honour to request the favour of your kindly sending me by V.P.Post, one copy of - Manual of Civil High Court Circulars of latest ---- edition with correction slips if any.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

Divan of Savanur.

No 237 of 1944.
Savanur 30/3/44.
31/Civil

To

The Registrar of the
High Court Appellate side
Bombay.

Sir,

I have the honour to request the favour of your kindly sending me by V.P. Post, one copy of Manual of Civil High Court Circulars of latest edition with Correction Slips if any as ~~informed~~ intimated by the Superintendent of Govt Central Press Bombay in his letter No B.D./1439 of 27th March 1944, to refer to you. at an early date

I have &c

Dy Diwan Savanur

Book, recd. No

file

Dy

Government letter No. 7524 dated the 20th December 1913, in the Political Department, adressed to the Collector & Political Agent, --- Dharwar.

"With reference to your letter No. 7626 -- dated the 20th October 1913, to the address of the Commissioner, Souther Division, I am directed to request that you will inform the Nawab of Savanur that Government will be glad if he will apply the Criminal Tribes Act, III of 1911, to his State, and that you will furnish Government with three copies of the Notification with the date of publication recorded thereon ".

No. 241 of 1914.

Dharwar; 9th January 1914.

Copy forwarded with compliments, to the Nawab of Savanur for favour of compliance with -- reference to his No. 557 dated 13th October 1913.

2. Three copies of Notification with the date of publication recorded thereon may kindly be forwarded to the undersigned for transmission to -- Government.

Sd/R. S. Kittur.
For Collector & Political
Agent Dharwar.

No. 23. 19th January ,1914.

Forwarded with compliments to the Divan-Saheb for compliance with reference to his office No. 676 of 7-10-13, and for submitting the Notifica--tions,

Sd/ Abdul Majid Khan

Lt

N A W A B .

No. 172 of 1914.

Respectfully returned

2) Three copies of the notifications with the date of publication recorded thereon, have been submitted herewith as desired.

~~for being favour of transmission~~.

Sameer
28th February 1914.

Reg. Sinan

7th
here

Hence
the Cri. Tribes Act
has been applied
to this State.

file RG
15/6/14

Civil Kerkun to note & return

Sinan

Act Ins

N O T I F I C A T I O N .

It is hereby notified that the Criminal Tribes Act, III of 1911, shall, mutatis mutandis and so far as it may be applicable, come into force in the Savanur State with effect from the date of the publication of this Notification in the Savanur State.

2. The powers to be exercised by the Governor in Council or the Local Government, or Government, -- wherever mention is made in the said Act, should ~~xxxx~~ be exercised by Meharban Lt. Nawab Saheb Diler Jung Bahadur of the Savanur State & all the references to British India in the said Act should be read as -- including the Savanur State.

Abdul Rashid Khan LT.

N A W A B O F S A V A N U R.

No 120 of 1914, 12/14

Copy forwarded to the Sub Inspector of Police Savanur

Savanur 13/2/14 Sd/ Biman Sen

Vernacular Copies of notification Sent to the Village officers for publication on the 26th instant.

13/2/14. Sd/ Biman Sen

No date of Publication to 26/2/14 Sd/ Biman

కిరాయి శాసనాలు - [illegible]

ఇదివరలో ఆంధ్ర రాష్ట్ర సంస్థానాధిపతులు తమ సంస్థానాలలో జారీ చేసిన కిరాయి శాసనాలు అన్నవి 'శ్రీ మద్వల్లభాయి జ్ఞ ఆక్ట్ 1911 లో ఉన్న చట్టాలకు లోబడి' సంస్థానాన్ని బట్టి ఆయా ప్రభుత్వాలు అమలు పరుచుచున్నవి.

2. పైన ఆక్షేపణలు గమనించగా ఉన్నవారిని గా, స్థానిక-సర్కారు అధికారం సర్కారు వారు నడిపే లోకల్ బోర్డు అధికారి గ్రామాన్ని సిబ్బంది లేదా బాధ్యత లేకుండా నలాంబాసాంకేతిక విలేఖరి జేసిన బాధ్యుడు గా నడిపే లోకల్ బోర్డు - పైన పేర్కొన్న ఆక్షేపణలు బ్రిటిష్ వారు లేదు అనుకుంటే కేవలం ఉద్దేశాలు పల్లకీ మోసేవాళ్ళ నమ్మకం సంస్థానాధిపతి నమ్మకం అనుకుంటే

నమస్కారములు

నమస్కారములు

ఆర్థిక సంబంధ నమస్కారములు

గౌ॥ మద్రాసు రాష్ట్రం పైన పేర్కొన్న కిరాయి శాసనాలు గత 26 సంవత్సరాలు లేదు అంటున్నారు. మరి దీన్ని బట్టి ఇప్పటి ఆంధ్ర రాష్ట్ర ప్రభుత్వం ఆంధ్ర రాష్ట్ర సంస్థానాధిపతులు తమ సంస్థానాలలో జారీ చేసిన కిరాయి శాసనాలు అమలు పరుచుచున్నవి.

తేదీ 7/2/14

R. Sir,
please permit me to know the date of publication.
yours obedt servt
HHG
Kurkum.

Sd/c
Kurkum
Sivan Savanur.

Sir,
I have [illegible]
for [illegible]
[illegible]

No. of 1914.

Savanur, 23rd January, 1914.

From

Kristrao Gururao Kalghatgi Esqr. L. C. E.
Divan of Savanur.

To

Meharban Lt. Nawab Saheb Diler Jang Bahadur
Savanur State.

Sir,

I have the honour to enclose herewith a -- draft Notification declaring the Criminal Tribes Act to be in force in the Savanur State with reference to your Order No. 23 dated the 19th January 1914 -- and to request the favour of your kind approval -- signature & return.

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE.
I. No. 39
23. JAN. 1914.
Compilation

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Divan of Savanur.

No 555
2nd February ~~January~~ 1914.

Returned with compliments with the enclosed notification approved & signed.

Nawab.

In No 98

When this notifn is duly published a copy will be given to the Pol. as asked by him.

23/1

Kindly keep the enclosure.

Civil

2388

STAMP OFFICE

26 FEB 1942

To

Meherban Lt.-Nawabsaheb

Dilerjeng Bahadder Sawanur

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR

I. No. 139

Comp appeal

Review application

Appelent — Peersab wallad Miasab Nadaf of Chillur

Respondent — Hanamantgowda Bin Basangowda Patil of Chillur

Peersab Wallad Miasab Nadaf of Chillur)

I the undersiner appellant humbly lay before your honour the following facts hoping that they will meet with your kind consideration

I own a field in Chillur S. No. 88 The long standing customary right of way to it lies through the field of the respondent Hanamantgowda. This fact has also been established by the Dewan Saheb in the ~~[illegible]~~ private case decided by him.

3435

21

V. G. Nimbalkar
Karkala

This decision has also been upheld by the Political Agent and Government in the exercise of its revisional jurisdiction

But unfortunately this case was decided by the late Devan Saheb as Sub judge against me and I appealed against this to your court. But the decisions of the lower court was to my misfortune confirmed inspite of my strong evidence in my support of my contention.

On my oral request the present Devan Saheb has personally seen the way in dispute when he last visited our village Chillur. After making local inquiries he has been fully convinced that my prayer is just and reasonable and that there is no other way leading to my field except through the field of the Respondent.

The Sub judge has also remarked in his judgement that the right of way to my field lay through some other fields. The owners of these

fields also obstruct me in removing the agricultural produce.

Thus practically no way is left open to pass through to go to my field. It is quite natural that there should be a way to go to and ~~come~~ return from my field back to the village.

Under these circumstances I pray your honour's mercy to kindly consider my application review the judgment passed by your honour and decide the appeal in my favour.

Certified copies are herewith enclosed Dated 7-3-1914

(Through the Diwan Saheb Savanur)

Report from Dwan on 14/3. For order.

No 131.
23rd March 1914.

Forwarded with compliments to the Diwan through whom the appellant intended to ~~forward~~ submit the.

By order
D. R. Bete
Chitnis
for Nawab

Dk No 288 — 0 — Shew the Wahivate & the Civil Suit papers on this subject 24/3/14

23/3/14

No 356 of 1914.
Savanur 24/3/14
29/5/14

Respectfully resubmitted together with all the case papers.

Diwan Sahib

29/5.

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE.
I. No. 1.07
29. MAY 1914
Compilation

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE.
29. MAY 1914

order.

No action can be taken on this application. I see no reason to alter the orders already passed.

6.7.14. Nawab.

No 240
8th July 1914.

Returned with compliments to the Diwansahib with all the papers sent therewith.

2. The Diwansahib is requested to communicate the purport of the above orders to the applicant.

8/7/14 for Nawab.

The applicant has been informed of the purport of the above order in this office no. 441 dated 9/7/14

Civil

Copy was applied for by Peersab bin Miyasab Balikar on 27-1-14

Copy was ready for delivery on 28-1-14

Copy was delivered on

Words	copying fee	comparing fee	cost of paper	Total
120	0-4-0	0-1-0	0-0-3	0-5-3

Kulkarni
Diwan Savanur

Application for the exercise of Revisional jurisdiction.

No. 7 of 1911

Dharwar (Savanur).

Hanmant Gowda Bin Basangowda ..... applicant.

v.s.

Pirsaheb. w. Miyasaheb .... opponent.

No. 8392.

Political Department.

Bombay Castle; 1st November 1911.

Memorandum.

The undersigned presen

Compliments to the Collector & Political Agent, Dharwar, and with reference to his memorandum No 7035 dated the 30th August 1911, is directed to request that the petitioner Hanmant Gowda bin Basangowda may be informed that Government have rejected his application for the exercise of their revisional jurisdiction in respect of the Political Agent's decision dated the 27th June 1911 regarding a dispute about the use of a Customary right of way.

Sd. K. Barlee
Under Secretary to Government

Compared by
[illegible]
Karkun

DIWAN SAVANUR

[illegible]
Dewan Savanur

Copy was applied for on (23) twenty third Civil January 1914

Copy was ready for delivery on (27) twenty seven January 1914.

Copy was delivered on (28) twenty eight January 1914.

words 160
3-6 copying fee
1-0 Comp. fee
3 Costbooks
4-9

Subjudge &c.
Sirsi

In the District Court of Sirsi. No. 9.

A1.

Appeal No. 6 of 1913 from Decree in Civil Suit No. 19 of 1912 of the Court of the Subjudge First class of Sirsi.

Pleaders Mr. Venkatramanacharya	Reersabh wd. Nirjasah Pujar of Chittur	Appellant (original Deft.)
	against	
Mr. Bhimacharya	Hanamantgowda bin Basangowda Patil of Chittur. Claim Rs 130/-	Respondent (original Plff.)

Order

Pleadings on both sides were heard & on going through the evidence I find no reason to reverse the judgment of the Lower Court.

There is no documentary evidence on either side. The evidence produced by the appellant Exs 17 & 33 are contradictory & do not help him to establish their wakiwat

It is likely that when the appellant owned S. No. 90 prior to the purchase of S. No. 88 which is next door, he used his S. No. 90 to go to S. No. 88.

The evidence of Ex 18 on behalf of the respondent is important & there is no reason to disbelieve him. Besides it is admitted by both parties that the Kalla on the top of S. No 84 is deep & not fordable

HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

I direct that the appeal be dismissed with costs.

Savanur
25th December 1919

sd/ Abdul Majid
District Judge
Savanur

True copy

Compared by
B H Savanur
Karkun

K Dhamo
Superintendent
Savanur

SEAL OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE SAVANUR

(27)

(27) 1914

(28) 1914

Subjudge etc.
Saranpur

322

1-7-3

6 1913

1913 7

[illegible]

1. [illegible] } [illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible] } [illegible]

[illegible] 19/1912 [illegible]
[illegible] 1918 [illegible]
[illegible] 7 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 84 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1912 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

4-14-5

[illegible]

1	10	-	-
2	"	8	"
3	1	-	-
4	3	14	5
5	1	7	9
6	2	10	9
	19	8	11

1	"	8	"
2	"	8	"
3	-	-	-
4	3	14	5
	4	14	5

Sd/ Abdul Majid Khan

Sd/ S. B. Sankar

Sd/ B. Limachaya
Pleader

Compared by
B. Mavinur

Subject

Civil

No,

To,

The Diwan Bahadoor of

Savanur

Respected Sir,

I have been practising in your State for which a Sanad is issued in my name terminating at the end of February 1915. I request that it should be extended until the end of March 1915 which is the month of the ending of the official year of your State. I shall be highly obliged if the prayed for extention is granted & communicated to me as well as the Subjudge's Court at Savanur.

Karajgi
6-3-15

Inward No. 183.
8-3-15

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Narayan Rao Venkatesh Rao Kalaphader.

No 199 of 1915

Savanur 8/3/15
10

Respectfully submitted to Meharban Begum Saheba Dilerjung Bahadur of Savanur for favour of sanction.

Inwd no 64

Diwan

No 63 of 1915
4 ~~March~~ April 1915

In. No. 245

Returned with compliments. The proposals of the Diwan are sanctioned as recommended by ~~the Diwan~~

Chaleeglunnissa
Hy Begum Saheba.

b-w/s
a/c

file
Hy
6/4/15

No. of 1915

Memo:-

~~In ref~~ ~~with~~ ref: this affluent dated the 6th ultimo from Narayan Ninayak Kale pleader Karjgi is informed that the period of his sanad is extended till the 31st of March 1915 as per order No. 63 of the 4th inst from Meharban Begum saheb. D. J. B. Lrs

Sd:-

Dy Diwan

Civil

To,

Meharban Lt Nawab Saheb Dilerjung Bahadur Savanur State -

Most respected Sir,

I, the undersigned, beg to lay before your Highness the following few lines, which I hope will meet with kind consideration

A Sanad was granted to me to the effect that I may practise as a pleader in the courts of Savanur State until the end of March 1915 under order No (no order No in the Sanad) Dated 4-12-14

As the period expires on the 31st inst, I beg & request that your Highness will kindly consider over the matter and continue my Sanad -

For this act of kindness I shall ever

ever be obliged and remain dutiful -

I remain
Sir
your most obedient
Servant -

Savanur
Dated
8 - 3 - 1915 -

Krishnaji Vinayak Gokakkar
Pleader Savanur

Submitted through Rao Bahadur
Diwan Sahab and District Judge Savanur State

Returned
Rs 30 for renewal of the Sanad
should be paid before the application
is forwarded with recommendation
8/3/15

Sir,

Rs 30 paid herewith the application
personally - 8-3-15

Rs (30 - -) Thirty only credited to Stay book
(Tal. form No. 1) at page 8 S. Bahadur

No. 181 Naqadi to
credit the amount to the credit
8/3/15

note
K V Gokakkar
Pleader -

Below application dated the 8th instant from the State Pleader for K. V. Gokhekar.

No. 257 of 1915

Respectfully submitted for favourable consideration.

Sucharhan Begumsaheb for grant of sanction.

2. The applicant has credited Rs 30 thirty to the State Treasury as the usual fee only for the renewal of his Land Title for the period of 31st March 1916.

Kibleff

Dewan Bahadur

Order

Case No. 57 of 1915

Returned with compliments to the applicant to Sanad is renewed till the 31st of March 1916.

X Khaleequnnissa Begum Saheba

No. 238

29-3-15

No. 254 of 1915

Savanur 29/3/48

Memo:-

1/3/48

With reference to the application dated the 8th instant of Mr. Bhushnaji Kinayak Gokakar, pleader Savanur State is informed that his sanad dated the 4th December authorising him to practise as a pleader in the courts of the Savanur State expired the 31st of March 1915 is renewed till the 31st of March 1916 as per Order No. 57 of 27th instant from Meh. Begumsahebaffairs for Diler Jang Bahadur Savanur State.

The sanad may be produced for necessary entry being made in it.

Dewan & District Judge

Sanad is returned after necessary entry made

Filed

Nawab of Savanur's office

No. 269 of 15th Sep. 1915

Savanur.

From,

Lt. Nawab Abdul Majid Khan,

Dilair Jung Bahadur

Nawab of Savanur

To,

The Political Agent,

D H A R W A R.

My Friend,

With referance to your letter no. 5640 of 12th August 1915 I have the honour to state that I have no objection to remit the court fees in this state under all the circumstances enumerated in the Bombay Government notification no. 3970 dated 7th July 1915 of the Political Department.

Yours sincerely

Lt

Nawab of Savanur

Copy forwarded to the District Judge for information and guidance.

16-9-15.

Nawab of Savanur.

In. no 666

No. 751 of 1915.

Savanur 18-9-15.

Forward with compliments to the 1st Class Sub judge for favor of information & return.

Received
DN

Rec'd 21-9-15

D.A. No 116 of 1915

Subordinate Office
Savanur 8-10-15.

2) Noted & returned with compliments, as desired.

Subordinate
S.

Recd & filed
8/10/15

DG

Civil

To

Meherban Lt. Nawab
Saheb Deleyung Bahadur
Savanur.

Honour Sir,

I respectfully submit that I have passed the School Final Examination held in November 1908 and studied law with the intention of appearing for the Pleader's Examination. But my poor circumstances have not allowed me to appear for the Examination. I am young (my age being 25) and have great ambition to come forward as pleader. I have got good knowledge of law. Therefore I desire to practice as pleader and if circumstances permit

I even wish to appear for the Pleader's Examination.

I, therefore, pray that your Honour will grant me a Sanad to practice as pleader in the State Courts of Savanur.

For this act of Kindness I shall as in duty bound ever pray.

I beg to remain
Honoured Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Savanur
27 November 1915

Shamrao Gunderao Kathvate

Through
The Dewan Saheb,
Savanur.

Jn. No 851

Below application d/ 27th Nov. 1915 from Mr S. G. Kathawate.

No. 9 of 1915

Savanur 1st December 1915

Forwarded with respects to Meharban Lt. Nawabsaheb Dilerjung Bahadur for favourable consideration.

2) From the certificate enclosed the applicant seems to have a good knowledge of civil & criminal laws & there seems no objection to allow him to practice as a pleader in the State courts on payment of the usual fees.

He is also certified to bear a good moral character.

R B Ranne Gowda
Diwan Savanur

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB
No. 365
3. NOV. 1915

Note

The sanad granted to Mr. Abdul Jafar Baig has lately been cancelled. The applicant who seems to be a promising lad, may if seems, be tried with advantage.

Abd

Recd on 8/11/15

Received the sanad 11-12-15 SKathawate

No. 4225 dt 29/9/15

~~Forwarded~~ Returned with

Compliments.

2) A circular to the enclosed form, to practise is placed in all the State courts has been issued to the applicant.

3) The correspondence may be forwarded to all the courts in succession for information?

10-12-15

R.

11-12-1915. Jn. No. 906 No. 5/A/15

Nawab of Savanur

13/12/15

~~Forwarded~~ in ~~succession~~ for information and ~~return~~

R B Rao
Dewan

To

1) The Subjudge & Magte I class Savanur
2) The Magte II class Savanur
3) The Magte III class Savanur

Recd 13/12/15

Civil No 148 of 1915

Subjudge's office
Saran. 13-12-15

Noted & forwarded with compliments to the Magt. IInd class, Saran, for information as directed above.
2) The enclosures accompany.

Subjudge &c.

Rend. on
13/12/15

Forwarded to the Magistrate Saran IIIrd class Saran, with compliments, as there is no second class Magte's court now.

Dr Hugins pp
15/12/15

Sitting office
15/12/15

Hugins

No. of 1915.

Savannah 16-12-15.

Seen and respectfully resubmitted.

Maj. 3rd. Class.

Civil

I hereby certify that I know Mr. Shamras. G. Kathavala for the last 3 years. He has studied law & has a fair knowledge of criminal & civil laws. He bears a good moral character.

Tavanur
30th November 1915

H. Khalgani
pleader

ಜಿಮಾಪಾ ಚಲನ

ಸವಣೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನ ದಿವಾಣ ಕಚೇರಿ ಬಾಬತು

ವಹಿಗೆ

ಸದರಿಗೆ ಜಮಾ ಆಗತಕ್ಕದ್ದು

ನಂಬರ.	ಯಾರಿಂದ ಒಂದರ್ದ ವಗೈರೆ	ಯೋಕರ ಬಾಬತು	ರಕಮ			ಸರಾ
	[illegible]	[illegible]	30	-	-	
		[illegible]	30	-	-	

ಶ್ರೀರಾಮ ಧ ಸೇ ಮಾಜಿ ದಿ ೧೦/೮ ಸನ್ನ ಸೇನಿ ೪ ಇಸ್ವಿ

ಹೆಡ್ಡ ಕಾರಕೂನ

ದಿವಾಣ ಸದಿವಾನ

Shatwale

ఆదేశం

(30-00) కలెక్టర్ మరియు జిల్లా మేజిస్ట్రేట్ గారి ఆదేశాలు 267 సి.ఆర్.పి.సి

తేదీ 9-12-15

Dim Sheet

No. 422 dt 10/12/15 Civil

To

Mr. Shamrao Gundeorao
Rathvale of Dhamon

In conformity with the provisions of Regulation II of 1827 A.D., you are hereby appointed to the office of a pleader in all the Courts of the State for the period ending 31st March 1916; you will not be liable to be removed from your situation during your good behaviour; while you discharge your duty with zeal & integrity under the rules contained in the Regulations which now are or hereafter may be in force.

Huzur Office
Savanur
10.12.15.

Nawab of Savanur

Civil No 67 of 1916

Savanur 16 March 1916.

Order

The powers under the Small Cause Court Act IX of 1887 given to Mr Hudvedker, First class Subordinate to take cognizance of civil suits the value of which do not exceed Rs 500 are, in the interest of public justice hereafter withdrawn.

Abdul Majid Khan
Lt.
Nawab of Savanur

Copy forwarded with compliments to the Diwan & Dist judge Savanur.

In. No. 180

RW
23-3-16

File. (C. file)

RBB
Diwan & D.J.

Copy of letter no 3481 Dt 4th Dec.-1916 from the Political Agent to Meher. Lt. Nawab Saheb D.g. Bahadur Savanur State. Cstd

"I have the honour to send herewith a copy of Govt. Memo. No 8490 Dt 24/11/16 in the Political Dept. for your information & favour of communication to the applicant Jamalbibi Saheb Jaraji Latibkhan-saheb of Savanur."

No 745 of 1916 Huzur Office Savanur
Date 14th Dec. 1916.

Forwarded with c/s to the Dewan of Savanur together with the copy of the Govt: Memo.

2) The rejection order passed by Govt:. should be communicated to the applicant Jamalabibi saheb Jaraji Latibkhansaheb B. Nawab of Savanur & a report to that effect shd. accordingly be submitted to this

Office.

Sd Abdul Majid Khan
Lt.
Nawab of Savanur

True copy.

Compared by
H H Reinhardt
Lieut

Application for the exercise of extra-ordinary jurisdiction No 24 of 1916, Savanur, Jemalabibisaheb Javaji Abdul Latibakhan Saheb. Applicant.

Civil

vs.

Abdul Rahimankhan Farjand Abdul Karimankhan Saheb minor by his next friend & mother Ahamadbibisaheb Javaji Abdul Karimakhan Saheb and others. Opponents.

No 8490

Political Dept.

Bombay Castle, 24th Nov. 1916.

Memorandum.

The undersigned presents compliments to the Collector & Political Agent, Dharwar & is directed with reference to the Political Agent's letter no. 2893 D 31st July 1916 to request that the Petitioner Jamalabibisaheb Javaji Abdul Latibakhan Saheb may be informed that Govt. have rejected her petition D 4th March 16

against the order of the Political Agent, who declined to interfere with the decision of the Nawab of Savanur in an appeal in Civil Suit filed against the Petitioner.

Sd/ A. F. Kindersley.

O.C.

Under Secretary to Govt.

True Copy

Compared by
H H Reinhens

The applicant is informed of the orders ~~accordingly~~. File ~~[illegible]~~ 9/6/1

RBP
Diwan Savanur.

Civil

To,

The Lieu. Nawabsaheb Dilerjung Bahadur,

S a v n o o r S t a t e.

The humble application of Nawaj Khatu kom Abdul Dilerkhan biradar Nawab of Savnoor most respefuctfully - sheweth:-

1. That some time back there was a civil suit between - myself and my co-widow Mujferkhatu and mother-in-law - Amirbibi.

2. That it was decreed in that suit that the applicant - is entitled to a two annas share in the immoveable pro- -perties of the family.

3. That an appeal was preferred to the Government through the Political Agent from the decree in the above mentioned suit and in that appeal the Lower Court's decree was con- -firmed by the Government.

4. That the state is the ultimate reversioner in the case of all this property after the death of the parties to the -partition suit.

5. That a division of this property by metes and bounds - will not completely put a stop to all disputes between the parties.

6. That as the state is the ultimate reversioner in this case the applicant begs that instead of dividing the pro-

properties by metes and bounds, the state be pleased to take immediately into possession all the property and out of the annual income of the same, to hand over their respective - shares to the parties to the partition suit.

7. That the applicant begs to quote the following instance as a precedent for such a course to be taken. When the - state was under the administration of the Political Agent, precisely such a course was adopted in the case of one - Peerkhan Risaldar, whose property was resumed by the state and a fixed cash payment instead was made to him annually by the state.

8. The applicant begs that your Honour be pleased to take action as referred to in paras 7 & 8 above which will surely result in immense benefit to the applicant and her co-widow and mother-in-law especially as they are helpless purdha - ladies who are more likely to be often-times the innocent - victims of mischief-mongers.

9. In conclusion the applicant begs that your Honour be - pleased to favour the applicant by passing necessary orders in this matter as soon as possible as prayed for by the - applicant.

15th ~~August~~ September 1915.)

Dt. No. 250

صحیح نواز خان تودس نورقد

15-9-15

Below the petition of Nawab Khan Jeveji Abdul Deler Khan Biradar Nawab of Savanur —

No 28/90 of 1915

Forwarded with compliments for report to the Dewan Saheb

2) Papers regarding the precedent mentioned in para 7 may be submitted for perusal to the C/S.

Find out

20/9/15.

16-9-15

Nawab of Savanur.

18-9-15.

In No 709

No 803 of 1915.

Savanur 7th October 1915.

Respectfully resubmitted.

The undersigned begs to report that the papers referred to are not forthcoming on the records of this office. They were sent for record to the Collector and Political Agent Dharwar with this office Huzur Malki Firyad no 14 of 17th August 1871.

Diwan Incharge

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE
I. No 280
-9. OCT. 1915
Compilation .....

Rec'd 8-10-15

Returned with compliments

2) The Diwan should please report with his opinion in the matter as requested above.

3) He should also arrange to obtain papers from the Political Agent's office, required for information.

Nawab of Savanur.

Ask the Political Agent through this office.

No. 4290 of 1915.

Huzur Office
20th Dec. 1915.

Returned with compliments to the Diwan of Savanur.

2) From the reference of the P. Agent (herewith enclosed) it will be seen that the correspondence required is not forthcoming on the records of the P. Agent's office.

3) It may again be caused to be searched in

Supplement to corrce ending with
Dwan's No 803 of 7th Oct, 1915.

the State records & if it is not traced a
report should please be made after reference
to the Darnishi, to enable the wp to know
what the circumstances in that case were.

Mohd Nawab Khan Lt.
N. Awab Saheb

In No
939

Record Keeper
20-12-15

Gwd

To

Rao Saheb Lt. Nabab Saheb

Dilerjang Bahadur

State Savanur.

Respected Sir,

I the undersigned most respectfully beg to request the favour of your granting me a Sanad to practise as a pleader in your state Courts.

I am a watandar Patil of Pasupatihal in the state of Miraj Junior. I pay to Government an amount of Rs 500 nearly as annual judi. I belong to a noble and respectable family. Although I have not passed any Educational test I have studied upto the matriculation Examination. I have been studying criminal civil and revenue law for the last three years and have gained an insight into them. To practise as a state pleader is the goal of my ambition.

The accompanying two certificates given by two leading pleaders of the Dharwar Bar speak for themselves as to my

character

character and capabilities. I am quite prepared to pay Rs 30, being the fee for the Sanad to be granted.

In conclusion I beg to request that your honour will be pleased to grant me the Sanad.

And for this act of kindness I shall, as in duty bound ever pray.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
x Govind Shrinivas Patil.

Dharwar
26th September 1916

Address: G. S. Patil,
C/o H. R. Desai Esq. B.A. LL.B.
Pleader
Dharwar.

Respectfully forwarded through the Dy. R. No. 506
Diwan Saheb of Savanur.
No 4528 of 1916.

Red in a registered cover.
27/9/16

Respectfully submitted to the Huzur for favourable consideration. There are only 2 or 3 English knowing pleaders. So there seems no objection to allow the applicant to practise as a pleader.

27/9/16

R. B. Purushottam
Diwan

THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR
I. No. 512
29 SEP. 1916
Compilation .....
SAVANUR STATE.

Below No 452 dated 27th September 1916
from the Dewan of Savanur.

No 56 of 1916

Huzur office - Savanur

Date 19th October 1916

Returned with compliments.

2) A Sanad may be issued on payment of the usual annual fees.

Abdul Wahab

Nawab of Savanur.

No 5740 of 1916.

Diwan's Office
Sasamur 31st October 1916.

Resubmitted with respects.

2) The applicant has paid the usual fees. A sanad may kindly be issued to them.

R B Purushotham
Diwan Sasamur

Rec 4/11/16.

order

Issue a sanad to the applicant

4/11/16 Ahmed Kodkhan

Rec 18/11/16

548

Office. The applicant is asked to pay the fees of Rs 3/-. Also await. vide no 500 of 23-10-16.

R.B.
Dwan

[illegible] 30/10/16.

Dwan

(30-10) [illegible] 20 [illegible]
[illegible] 30-10-16

Dwan

Nawab of Sa

No 785 of 1916.

Huzur office, Savanur.

Date 18th nov. 1916.

Returned with compliments to the Diwan & Subjudge &c. of Savanur, in succession, for information, note & return.

2) The Subjudge should please arrange to forward by post the fair copy of the sanad granted to Mr. Govind Shreenivas Patil, Sharwar on the address written by him on his application for a sanad. If a rekhat or the same should be submitted below this correspondence

Nawab of Savanur.

No 652 of 1916

Forwarded with compliments to the Subjudge Sc Savanur for compliance and return.

R.B. Bhullar
Diwan

18/11/16

Diwan
609

Below Dwarkachel's No 332
19/11/16

No 65 of 1917
Savanur 25th June 1917

Returned with compliments after making due note in the register.

2. The Sanad in question, has been sent to the pleader with this office No 98 dated 20th November 1916, for which no receipt or reply is sent by the pleader up till now. Therefore the correspondence is returned

after keeping it so long in a wait.

Subjudice F.C.

25/6/17

2mo.
389

A sanad for this year 1917-18 is renewed to the applicant: So file. 29/6/17.

Cert

To,

Govind Shreenivas patil Esqr.
Dharwar

In conformity with the provisions of Regulations II of 1827 you are appointed to the office of a pleader in all the courts of the Savanur State for the period ending 31st March 1917.

You will not be liable to be removed from your situation during your good behaviour while you discharge your duty with zeal & integrity under the rules contained in the regulations which now are or hereafter may be in force.

Huzur office
Savanur
Date 18th Nov. 1916

S/C. [illegible]

Nawab of Savanur.

I hereby certify that Mr Govind Srinivas Patil of Pasupatihal whom I know personally has been studying law for the last year, and is intelligent and painstaking. He deserves to be given a Sanad to practise as a pleader in any Court.

Dharwar
18th September 1908

H. R. Dean B.A. LL.B.
Pleader.

I hereby certify that Mr Govind Shrinivas Patil of Pasupatihal, whom I know, bears good moral character and is intelligent and painstaking.

Dharwar
18th September 1916

N. M. Wagh B.A. & B.T.
Pleader

No. 161 Civil

Dharwar; 11/12 th July 1917.

Memo

Under directions from the Under Secretary to the Govt. of Bombay in the Political Department ,contained in his No. 50004 dated 4-7-1917 petitioner Jamalbibi Javji Abdul Latifkhan of Savanur is informed that her appeal against the orders passed by the Govern--ment of Bombay in the matter of the continuance of her allowance to her heirs after her demise was rejected by the Government of India in their memo. No. 1292-1-A dated 26-6-17.

Sd/ H.B.Kamlapur

for Collector and Political

Agent, Dharwar

Through,

The Nawab of Savanur

No. 688 of 1917.

Huzur Office, Savanur

Dated, 19 th July 1917.

Copy forwarded to the Divan of Savanur for information & communication to the said petitioner Jamalbibi & report to the U/s of his having done so ,

Rec'd
23-7-17

Nawab of Savanur

No 377
Copy sent to the Petitioner for information
& report submitted to the Inquiry
Officer
Date 25/7/17

Inwd
H21

No 8/1917
Diwan's Office Savanur
26th July 1917

~~Returned with respects to the Nawab.~~

2. ~~The Petitioner is informed that order will be passed.~~
~~Copy to the Petitioner for information & report submitted to the Inquiry Officer for disposal & report to the Diwan of Savanur.~~

Circl

No. 176 Dharwar; 25th July 1917.

Memo.

Notices in duplicate issued under the Succession Certificate Act,1889, are herewith sent to the Divan of Savanur with reference to his No. 355 dated 11th instant, for service and return. Two copies of the same to be published in his office and the village chavadi are also sent herewith with a request to communicate the date of publication.

2. A sum of Rs. 5-4-o should please be recovered from the applicant Gawarawa kom Guddappa Kulkarni of Savanur on account of process fees and the same sent to the undersigned by money order at her expense. She should also be asked to bring on 4th proximo a Court fee stamp of Rs. 1o on which the certificate applied for by her is to be granted.

Sri Shamrao
RBS
27/7/17

for Collector and Political Agent,
Dharwar.
RGS

RGS.
Inw
K22.

office - while published in the Divan's office on the 2 8th ~~Inst~~ Inst.

The Vos have been ordered to return the notices to serve on persons concerned & to publish the copy sent in the Chavadi report.

Also ordered to recover & send Rs 5-6-0 from Gunewa & to communicate to her that she should present herself before the Sd on 4/8/80 a Stamp of Rs 10.

28/7/1 Divan

The notices of Neelappa & Basappa are received. Send the notice of Govarava is sent again to the Vos. for service as she is not in Savur for the present

Divan

తేది. 5.ఆ.-2వ-కి55

[illegible]

[illegible]

1. [illegible]
2. [illegible]
3. [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 3వ [illegible]

[illegible] 5-4-0

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] (10-[illegible])

[illegible]

ಕಿರಿಯ ಶ್ರೇಣಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: 728 / 30/7/17 ದಿನಾಂಕ:

ವಿಷಯ: ಸ್ವಚ್ಛ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಭಿಯಾನ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ತ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಕುರಿತು ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ.

ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ: ಸ್ವಚ್ಛ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಭಿಯಾನ ಕುರಿತು ಸಭೆ ದಿನಾಂಕ: 30/7/17.

ಸರ್ವ ಸದಸ್ಯರು 856.

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ವಚ್ಛ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಅಭಿಯಾನ ಅನುಷ್ಠಾನ ಕುರಿತು ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಸ್ಥಳಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿದ ತ್ಯಾಜ್ಯ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ ಕುರಿತು ಸಭೆ ನಡೆಸುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿದ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಲು ಸದಸ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎ. ಗಫಾರ್ ಅವರನ್ನು ಮಾನ್ಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಪುರಸಭೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದು ಸದರಿ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಭಾಗವಹಿಸಿ ಸಭೆಯ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು ಕೋರಿಕೆ ಮಾಡಿದೆ.

ಇತಿ

ಮುಖ್ಯಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
ಪುರಸಭೆ ಶಿರಸಿ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

2

[illegible]

5-4-0

[illegible]

Below ~~for~~ Divans confl. report

No 679 of 1917.

Dharwar Circle
9-10-1917.

Returned with compliments.

2) Mr. Kanjalgi has resigned his post & nothing further need ~~be~~ be done in the matter.

3) The Subjude may be asked to keep a ~~more~~ closer supervision over his subordinate staff.

4) Mr. Kanjalgi has acted wisely in ~~[illegible]~~ admitting his fault & is fortunate in escaping ~~the~~ from being prosecuted.

Inno.
530

Recd
15/10/17

A Chatterton

D. Nawab Sahib

No. 4930 of 1917.

Dewan's Office Savanur.

16th October 1917.

Forwarded with C/s. to the Sub-Judge H. C. Savanur for information & guidance.

~~Communication~~ orders

2. The ~~Court~~ should be ~~informed~~ communicate

to ~~the~~ Mr Kowjalgi ~~Pleader concerned~~ & the papers regarding the executive proceedings should be detached from the correspondence.

Dewan of Savanur.

To be returned please

Below No 493 dated 16th October 1917

No. ~~150~~ 150 of 1917.

Returned with respects.

2.) Mr. Kowjalgi H. G. is informed of the above orders in this office No 127 dated the 25th October 1917.

Savanur
25/10/1917.

Subhedar R.C.
Sum

Recorded
26/10/17
Sum
S.R.C.

File 26/10/17

B

No 127 of 1917

Subjudge's Court Savanur

25th October 1917

Memo:-

With reference to the correspondence in the Darkhast No 3 of 1916, Mr. Kowjalgi H. G. who is on bail now, is hereby informed as per Huzur endorsement No 679 dated the 9th October, 1917 that he has acted wisely in admitting his fault and is fortunate in escaping from being prosecuted and nothing further need be done in the matter; and so he is released from bail.

O.C. Subjudge, P.C.

To

Meherban Lieutenant Nawab Abdul Majidkhan Saheb,
Diler Jang Bahadur, Savanur State.

May it please your Highness,

In January last I had submitted an application praying that a free sanad may kindly be granted to my nephew Srinivas Narasinha Pyati allowing him to practise as pleader in the State and had been informed by your Highness that same will be considered next year. The year is drawing to a close and your Highness will probably be away from Savanur for some time.

Your Highness is aware that I have grown old practising as a pleader in the State and have also worked on the Municipal Committee ever since its constitution in various capacities. Even the small practice I now enjoy falls as a strain on my declining age; but as I have no other source of income to fall back upon and a large family of orphan grandsons I am compelled work hard in my old age. Your Highness will see that it will be great assistance in my old age if my nephew is granted a free sanad.

As your Highness will be away from Savanur for some time I will not have an early opportunity of waiting personaly on your Highness and putting all these things before your Highness so I beg to be excused for approaching before time.

If my request be kindly granted I shall ever remain grateful to your Highness.

I beg to remain,

Your Highness's most obedient servant,

Savanur;
13th November 1917.

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE
I. No. 289
16th NOV. 1917
Compilation

No 985 of 1917
Huzur Office, Savanur
Dated 14th Nov. 17

Forwarded with compliments to the Dewan of Savanur.

2) The Undersigned is not inclined to grant the applicant a free Sanad.

Lt.
Nawab Of Savanur.

16/11/17
Rlw
Inform Mr V accordingly

Inwo
599

Reply. No. 551 of 1917

Sri. Venkatramanacharya Pyati

Please
It is hereby informed that the Lt. Nawabsahib is not inclined to grant his nephew, Mr. S.N. Pyati a free sanad.

This has reference to the Huzur order no 985
14/11/17.

Rlw
Dewan.

File 22/a/17

Rlw
Dewan Savanur.

No. 525 of 1918.

Huzur Office, Savanur.

Memo.

Dated, 26th June 1918.

The Divan of Savanur is requested to communicate to Tulsabai kom Govindnaik Kharad of Savanur that her application to Government for review is dismissed by Government, as desired by the Political Agent Dharwar & report to the u/s of his having done so on enclosing herewith an acknowledging receipt obtained therefor.

By order of the Huzur.

Chitnis to the Nawab Saheb of Savanur.

No. 7 of 1918

Quarter Master General's Office
14 Feb 1918

To

The Mayor

Sir,

With reference to your no. (above)

I have the honour to report that Julsabai Khawas was informed of the order contained in your No. a under receipt from Julsabai herself. ~~as will be seen from the letter signed Khawas, being a form of his application to be~~ ~~herewith enclosed. The correspondence in the matter under reference, is herewith~~ ~~returned to Dr Samuel Jesuffan in enclosed as desired, for your perusal.~~

Khawas Sir. The Acting Dr. Jesuffan has been forwarded to the Inspector General of Civil Hospitals.

Yours faithfully

[illegible]

File
16/2/18
SK

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

No. 7 of 1918.

Diwan's Office Savanur.

3rd January, 1918.

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalvedekar Esqr., B.A.,

Divan of Savanur.

To,

Meharban Captain Nawab Saheb,

Dilor Jung Bahadur, Savanur State.

Sir,

As per your verbal orders I asked the Karbaris of the States noted in the margin to kindly supply me with the information embodied in this Office No. 547 dated 1-12-17, Copy of which is appended. The replies in original are enclosed for favour of perusal.

Jath
Akkalkot
Budgaum (Miraj junior)
Mudhol
Ramdurg

2). The Stamp Act, the Court fees Act and the Registration Act, that are in force in British Districts, are in force in Jath, Akkalkot and Ramdurg and the stamp duty and the registration fees levied are also the same.

In Mudhol too the same Acts are in force. The Registration rules however are different, being those obtaining in the Kolhapur State.

In Budgaum the Stamp Act and the Registration Act are different from those in British Districts. The former Act is very old being introduced as early as 1869, as would appear from the Marathi Notification enclosed. I understand that there is no separate Court fees Act in the State, that there is only a Schedule to show advalorem rates of Court fees and that the rates of stamp duty and Court fees do not on the whole compare very favourably with those now in force in Savanur.

3). If the stamp duty is increased by 25% all round we have not got instruments to manufacture stamps of the

value of 0-1-3 & 0-2-6. If the present duty on documents requiring one anna and two anna stamps is retained, there will be no difficulty in manufacturing stamps of other de--nominations with the present instruments and selling them after raising the duty by 25%. This is as regards the working of the schedule of General stamp Act.

4). Before raising the Court fees by 25% all round we shall have to revise the schedule of the Court fees Act, so that there will be no fractions of an anna creeping in. For instance, according to the present schedule of the Court fees Act, the advalorem fees from rupee one to Rs.5/- is annas 6. If 25% is added to this the result will be annas 7½. These fees may therefore be raised to annas 8. If this is not done, there will be fractions of annas leviable throughout the present schedule.

5). I would respectfully make another proposal for your consideration with a view to increase the Revenue from General stamps and Court fees on other grounds.

The present Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act, which is now in force in Savanur and which has not been brought into force in any of the Southern Maratta Native States, as far as I know, may be abolished. The abolition of the Act is very likely to result in Monitory transactions on a larger scale and will increase the number of Civil Suits as before. These transactions and the Suits will automatically increase the sale of General and Court fee stamps.

This Relief Act, appears to be in force only in the Bombay Presidency and not in any other part of British India. It is a Bombay Act and not an India Act.

Even from the Rayats' point of view the abolition of the Act would conduce to their ultimate benefit as it will practically stop the many sham transactions with which one now meets.

This measure may be given a fair trial for a year or two and if it does not result in a substantial increase

in the stamp Revenue, the proposed increase of the stamp duty by 25% may be brought into force or both the measures may be adopted simultaneously as you may be pleased to think proper.

6). The increase in the Registration fees by 25% involves no difficulty of the kind indicated above. The avarage of past five years Registration fees comes to Rs.530/-. The increase by 25% would be Rs.130/- in round figures.

7). If any change is to be introduced in the Schedules of General stamp Act and the Court fees Act or if the Bombay D.A.R. Act is to be abolished, a formal public Notice will have to be given in Savanur and in the State villages.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Rajaram Shind

Divan of Savanur.

THE NAWAB
Inward No. 10
4 JAN 1918
SAVANUR STATE

No 32 of 1918
Huzur Office, Savanur
Dated 21st January 1918

Returned, with compliments.

2) The present duty on documents requiring one anna & two anna stamps is retained. The Stamp duty on documents of other denominations (both General & Court fees stamps) & the Registration fees are raised by 25 per cent all round.

3) The Schedule of the Court fees Act should be revised so as to fix the Court fees at the rate of 8 annas for every 5 rupees or fractions thereof & it should be submitted to the u/s for approval.

4) The rates of Revenue, Civil & Criminal Copying fees, comparing fees & cost of paper should be made double the present rate now charged therefor

5) The Bombay Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act should be

abolished from the State as it is in no way advantageous to the Agriculturists of this State .

6) A formal public notice should be issued as to the above changes being made with effect from 1st of March next

Ghouse Tajo Khan Captain.
Nawab of Savanur .

2/1/18

Dno.
HH.

Clerk of the court to comply with para 3 & HDK with para 6 RK.

~~Order~~ Notice to public about the changes contained in the above order issued & sent to the VOs in the State for giving publicity - 23-1-1918.

Diwan

No 1220 of 1918.

Diwan's Office, Savanur
19th February 1918.

Respectfully resubmitted.

2) A revised schedule under the court fees act is submitted herewith for favour of approval as per para 3 above.

Savanur
H. Khan
Diwan Jc

Below No 122 dated 19th Feb 1918
from the Diwan of Savanur.

No 174 of 1918
Angur office Nawab
Dated 28th Feb. 1918

Returned with compliments.

2) The revised Schedule of the Court fees Act herewith enclosed is returned approved.

Rec'd 28/2/18

Jamma. 137

Nawab of Sav

No 142 of 1918.

Copy of order No. 32 of 21/1/18 with a copy of the schedule

x as approved by H. H. the Nawabsahib DB.

with compliments for information & guidance to:-

(1) Subjudge & Sadar Jd.
(2) Hendrakum & Shafi Wakil & Subhegowda

Diwan

Thumpts of the V. of pleaders & the papers should be returned

4/3

Hon. Nothing need be done more with the matter. To file & note

Deputy Secy.

SCHEDULE 1

Ad valorem fees.

Number	Proper fees.
1 PLAINT "WRITTEN STATEMENT PLEADING A SET OFF OR COUNTER CLAIM" OR MEMORANDUM OF APPEAL (not otherwise provided for in this act), "OR OF CROSS OBJECTION" presented to any Civil or Revenue Court except those mentioned in section 3--	
When the amount or value of the subject matter in dispute does not exceed five rupees.	Eight annas.
When such amount or value exceeds five rupees, for every five rupees, or part thereof, in excess of five rupees, up to one-hundred rupees	Eight annas.
When such amount or value exceeds one hundred rupees, for every ten rupees, or part thereof, in excess of one hundred rupees, up to one thousand rupees	One rupee.
When such amount or value exceeds one thousand rupees, for every one hundred rupees, or part thereof, in excess of one thousand rupees, up to five thousand rupees. ...	Eight rupees
When such amount or value exceeds five thousand rupees, for every two hundred and fifty rupees, or part thereof, in excess of five thousand rupees, up to ten thousand rupees	Twelve rupees
When such amount or value exceeds ten thousand rupees, for every five hundred rupees, or part thereof, in excess of ten thousand rupees, up to twenty thousand rupees ...	Fifteen rupees.
When such amount or value exceeds twenty thousand rupees, for every one thousand rupees, or part thereof, in excess of twenty thousand rupees, up to thirty thousand rupees	Twenty rupees.
When such amount or value exceeds thirty thousand rupees for every two thousand rupees, or part thereof, in excess of thirty thousand rupees, up to fifty thousand rupees.	Twenty rupees.
When such amount or value exceeds fifty thousand rupees, for every five thousand rupees, or part thereof, in excess of fifty thousand rupees	Twenty five rupees

Provided that the maximum fee leviable on a plaint or memorandom of appeal shall be three thousand rupees.

In the case of other articles the proper fee leviable thereon would be 25% more than the fee prescribed therefor in the Indian Court fees Act VII of 1870 as amended by subsequent Acts up to date.

Approved.

28/2/18

Capt.

Nawab of Savanur.

Table of rates of ad valorem fees leviable on the institution of suits.

When the amount or value of the subject matter exceeds.	But does not exceed.	Proper fee.	When the amount or value of the subject -- matter exceeds.	But does not exceed.	Proper fee.
Rs.	Rs.	Rs. as. ps.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. as . ps.
.....	5	0---8---0	220	230	23 --0--8- 0
5	10	1---0---0	230	240	24----0---0
10	15	1---8---0	240	250	25 ---0---0
15	20	2---0---0	250	260	26 ---0---0
20	25	2---8---0	260	270	27 ---0---0
25	30	3----0--8	270	280	28 ---8---0
30	35	3---8---0	280	290	29 ---0---0
35	40	4---0---0	290	300	30 ---0---0
40	45	4---8---0	300	310	31 ---0---0
45	50	5---0---0	310	320	32 ---0---0
50	55	5---8---0	320	330	33 ---0---0
55--	60	6---0---0	330	340	34 ---0---0
60	65	6---8---0	340	350	35 ---0---0
65	70	7---0---0	350	360	36 ---0---0
70	75 ~~75~~	7---8---0	360	370	37 ---0---0
~~80~~ 75	80	8---0---0	370	380	38 ---0---0
80	85 ~~85~~	8---8---0	380	390	39 ---0---0
~~85~~ 85	90	9---0---0	390	400	40 ---0---0
~~100~~ 90	95 ~~95~~	9---8---0	400	410	41 ---0---0
~~100~~ ~~95~~	100	10--0-0	410	420	42 ---0---0
100	110	11--0--0	420	430	43 ---0---0
110	120	12--0--0	430	440	44 ---0---0
120	130	13--0--0	440	450	45 ---0---0
130	140	14--0--0	450	460	46 ---0---0
140	150	15--0--0	460	470	47 ---0---0
150	160	16--0--0	470	480	48 ---0---0
160	170	17--0--0	480	490	49 ---0---0
170	180	18--0--0	490	500	50 ---0---0
180	190	19--0--0	500	510	51 ---0---0
190	200	20--0--0	510	520	52 ---0---0
200	210	21--0--0	520	530	53 ---0---0
210	220	22--0--0	530	540	54 ---0---0

Contd.

Rs.	Rs.	Rs. as . ps.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs. as
540	550	55----0-----0	910	920	92-0-0
550	560	56 ---0---0-0	920	930	93-0-0
560	570	57 ---0 ---0	930	940	94-0-0
570	580	58 ---0 ---0	940	950	95-0-0
580	590	59 ---0 ---0	950	960	96-0-0
590	600	60 ---0 ---0	960	970	97-0-0
600	610	61 ---0 ---0	970	980	98-0-0
610	620	62 ---0 ---0	980	990	99-0-0
620	630	63 ---0 ---0	990	1000Ø	100-0-0
630	640	64 ---0---0	1000	1100	108-0-0
640	650	65 ---0 ---0	1100	1200	116-0-0
650	660	66 ---0 ---0	1200	1300	124-0-0
660	670	67 ---0 ---0	1300	1400	132-0-0
670	680	68 ---0 ---0	1400	1500	140-0-0
680	690	69 ---0 ---0	1500	1600	148-0-0
690	700	70 ---0 ---0	1600	1700	156-0-0
700	710	71 ---0 ---0	1700	1800	164-0-0
710	720	72 ---0 ---0	1800	1900	172-0-0
720	730	73 ---0 ---0	1900	2000	180-0-0
730	740	74 ---0 ---0	2000	2100	188-0-0
740	750	75 ---0 ---0	2100	2200	196-0-0
750	760	76 ---0 ---0	2200	2300	204-0-0
760	770	77 ---0 ---0	m2300	2400	212-0-0
770	780	78 ---0---0	2400	2500	220-0-0
780	790	79 ---0---0	2500	2600	228-0-0
790	800	80 ---0---0	2600	2700	236-0-0
800	810	81 ---0---0	2700	2800	244-0-0
810	820	82 ---0---0	2800	2900	252-0-0
820	830	83 ---0---0	2900	3000	260-0-0
830	840	84 ---0---0	3000	3100	268-0-0
840	850	85 ---0---0	3100	3200	276-0-0
850	860	86 ---0---0	3200	3300	284-0-0
860	870	87 ---0---0	3300	3400	292-0-0
870	880	88 ---0---0	3400	3500	300-0-0
880	890	89 ---0---0	3500	3600	308-0-0
890	900	90 ---0---0	3600	3700	316-0-0
900	910	91---0---0	3700	3800	324-0-0

Contd.

Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs	Rs as ps
3800	3900	332-0-0	12500	13000	750--0--0
3900	4000	340-0-0	13000	13500	765-0-0-0
4000	4100	348-0-0	13500	14000	780--0--0
4100	4200	356-0-0	14000	14500	795--0--0
4200	4300	364-0-0	14500	15000	800--0--0
4300	4400	372-0-0	15000	15500	825--0--0
4400	4500	380-0-0	15500	16000	840--0--0
4500	4600	388-0-0	16000	16500	855--0--0
4600	4700	396-0-0	16500	17000	870--0--0
4700	4800	404-0-0	17000	17500	885--0--0
4800	4900	412-0-0	17500	18000	900--0--0
4900	5000	420-0-0	18000	18500	905--0--0
5000	5250	432-0-0	18500	19000	930--0--0
5250	5500	444-0-0	19000	19500	945--0--0
5500	5750	456-0-0	19500	20000	960--0--0
5750	6000	468-0-0	20000	21000	980--0--0
6000	6250	480-0-0	21000	22000	1000--0--0
6250	6500	492-0-0	22000	23000	1020-0-0
6500	6750	504-0-0	23000	24000	1040-0--0
6750	7000	516-0-0	24000	25000	1060--0--0
7000	7250	528-0-0	25000	26000	1080--0--0
7250	7500	540-0-0	26000	27000	1100--0--0
7500	7750	552-0-0	27000	28000	1120--0--0
7750	8000	564-0-0	28000	29000	1140--0--0
8000	8250	576-0-0	29000	30000	1160--0--0
8250	8500	588-0-0	30000	32000	1180--0--0
8500	8750	600-0-0	32000	34000	1200--0--0
8750	9000	612-0-0	34000	36000	1220--0--0
9000	9250	624-0-0	36000	38000	1240--0--0
9250	9500	636-0-0	38000	40000	1260--0--0
9500	9750	648-0-0	40000	42000Ø	1280--0--0
9750	10000	660-0-0	42000	44000	1300--0--0
10000	10500	675-0-0	44000	46000	1320--0--0
10500	11000	690-0-0	46000	48000	1340--0--0
11000	11500	705-0-0	48000	50000	1360--0--0
11500	12000	720-0-0	50000	55000Ø	1380--0--0
12000	12500	735-0-0			

Contd.

Rs.	Rs.	Rs. ass ps.
55000	60000	1400-0-0
60000	65000Ø	1425-0-0
65000	70000	1450-0-0
70000	75000	1475-0-0
75000	80000	1500-0-0
80000	85000	1525-0-0
85000	90000	1550-0-0
90000	95000	1575-0-0
95000	100000	1600-0-0

Approved -

Dated
28/2/18

Nawab of

No.547 of 1917

Divan's office Savanur
1st December 1917.

From

Rajerao Vithal Mangalvedhekar, Esq., B.A.
Divan of Savanur.

To

The Karabhari Sahob of 1 Jath 2 Akalkot,
3 Budgaum, 4 Mudhol and 5 Ramdurg.

Sir,

I should feel highly obliged by your kindly giving me the following information:

2. Whether the Stamp Act, Court fees Act and Registration-Act, that are in force in British India, are in force in your State; and if so, the Stamp duty and the Registration fees are also the same.

3. If your State has these Acts of its own, I should be glad to know if the Stamp duty and the Registration fees are different and what the rates are.

4. If the State has these Acts of its own, copies may kindly be sent for perusal. They will be returned when done with if they are wanted.

I have the honour to be,
sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Sd/ Rajerao Vithal

Divan of Savanur.

" True copy."

Divan Savanur.

No. /281/ of 1917.

Jath, 15th December 1917.

From,

The State Karbhari of Jath.

To,

The Divan of Savanur.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 547 of the 1st instant, I have the honour to inform you that the Stamp, the Court fees and the Registration Acts which are in force in British India are also in force in this State and that the Stamp duty and the registration fees are also the same.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

State Karbhari of Jath.

21/12/17
Rhn
I think we have
rec'd all these papers of 20
put them up Rh

9 nov
687

No. 2505 of 1917.

From,

The State Karbhari, Akalkot State.

To,

The Diwan of Sawanur, Sawanur.

Akalkot, 10th December 1917.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.547 dated 1st Instant, I have the honour to inform you that the Stamp Act, Court Fees Act and Registration Act, that are in force in British India , are introduced in Akalkot State and that the Stamp duty and the Registration Fees are levied accordingly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

State Karbhari.

Imo. 6 8th. J.

14/12/17 await other copies Rlw 829

No. 552 of m 1917.

From,

Y. V. Kolatkar, Esquire,

B. A. L L. B.

State Karbhari, Ramdurg.

R A M D U R G.

To,

The Divan of Savanur.

S A V A N O O R.

State Karbhari's Office.

Ramdurg 1[illegible] December 1917

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 547 dat--ed 1st December 1917, I have the honour to state that Stamp Act, Court fees Act, & Registration Act that are in force in British India, are in force in this State; and the stamp duty & registration fess are the same.

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

State Karbhari, Ramdurg.

14/12/17

Forms 686

No 1009 of 1917
State Karbharis office
Kundhol 19th Dec 1917

From,

The State Karbhari,
Kundhol

To,

The Divansahebs of Savanur,

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 547 D/ the 1st inst, I have the honor to state that, the Stamp Act & the Court fees Act that were in force in British India are in force in this state. The Registration Rules under Act I of 1874 that are in force in the Kolhapur State are, mutatis mutandis, in force here.

Stamp Act of 1879
Court fees Act 7 of 1870

2/. The Stamp Duty is charged according to the Stamp Act in force in British India.

3/. The registration fees are charged according to Registration Rules mentioned above.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obdt servt
State Karbhari

No 688

21/12/17

No. 1708 of 1917.

From

The State Karbhari Miraj Junior.

To,

The Diwan of Savnur.

State Karbhari's Office,
Budhgaon 13th December 1917.

Sir,

With reference to your No. 547 dated the 1st Inst I have the honour to inform you that the Stamp Act in force in the Miraj Junior State is the same that has been framed ~~by the Miraj Senior State; and the Registration Act framed~~ by the Kolhapur State is in force in this State. Spare -- copies of these books are not available here and are not sent as desired.

The two notifications, regarding General Stamp Ac in force in the State are sent herewith as requested.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Inw 685 15/12/17

L. Kansing
State Karbhari Miraj Junior.

श्रीगणराज.

शिक्का.

सरकार राजश्री लक्षुमणराव माधव हुजूर दिवाणी अदालतीकडून.

जाहिरनामा इकडील इलाखेंतील राहणारे सर्व लोकांस व त्यांजबरोबर दिगर इलाखेंतील व्यापार करणारे शेट सावकार यांस कळावयाकरितां प्रसिद्ध केला जातोजेः—

१ या इलाखेंत दिवाणी काम चालणेचे पेटे तीन केले आहेत. एक कवठे पेटा, दुसरा गुडगेरी पेटा, तिसरा कुरोली पेटा. येथील मामलेदार यांस मुनसफीचा अधिकार दिलेला असोन त्या त्या पेट्यांतील पांच हजार रकमेच्या फिर्यादी असतील त्या पेट्याचे मुनसफाकडे प्रथम देऊन इनसाफ करण्याचा अधिकार पूर्वी दिला असोन आणखी जास्ती एक हजार करून सहा हजार रकमेपर्यंत दावा धरून इनसाफ करणेचा अधिकार पेट्या पेट्यांचे मुनसफास हल्लीं पासून दिला आहे.

२ दिवाणी कोर्टांत कोणते दावे किती मुदती पर्यंत केले पाहिजेत येविषयीं दिवाणी जावक नंबर ५६ ता. १९ जिल्काद सन १२७२ फसलींत सोळा कलमांचा जाहिरनामा प्रसिद्ध जाहला आहे तो कायम समजावा.

३ या इलाखेंत सन १२७२ फसली पूर्वी हरयेक दस्त साधे कागदावर होत होते पण तशी वहिवाट राहिल्यानें उत्तरोत्तर मनुष्यापाशीं प्रमाणपणा फारच कमी होत चालल्यानें ज्या वेळेस जसा समय पडेल तसा लेख पाहिजे त्या सालचा बनविण्यास व तसें घडल्यानें लोकांचें हरतरेनें नुकसान होऊं सकणार व न्यायाचे समई न्यायकरणारांस मोठा विचार करुन निश्चय ठरविणें मुष्किल पडणार हें जाणोन निर्बंध असणे साठीं स्टांप ठेवणें योग्य वाटलें. पेट्या पेट्यांनीं स्टांपकागद रवाना होऊन या बाबदींत कोणते कामास किती किमतीचा स्टांप असला पाहिजे त्या विषयींच्या स्केलासह जाहिरनामे वट्ट मुलकी जावक नंबर १४ । १५ तारीख सत्तावीस जिल्हेज सन १२७३ फसलींत पेट्यानिहाय प्रसिद्ध झाले आहेत.

४ सदर्हू जाहिरनामेंतील समजूत कित्येक लोकांस न पडतां अडाणीपणानें साधे कागदावर दस्त दिले घेतले आहेत व व्यापार संबंधेसाठीं पत्रें ह्मणजे सट्ट अमुक माल अमक्यानें अमक्यास अमुक दरानें द्यावा अशा प्रकारचे लेख साधे कागदावर केले ते जाहिरनाम्याचे शर्तेनें कोर्टासमोर येण्यास लायख नसल्यानें त्या विषयीं कित्येक लोक कुचंबणींत पडून नुकसानीस पात्र झालेचें पेट्याचे अंमलदार मार्फतीनें हुजूर जाहीर झालें आहे. यास्तव या कलमाबद्दल एक वेळ सर्व लोकांवर मेहेर नजरेनें असें ठरविण्यांत आलें आहे कीं, स्टांप सुरू झाल्यावर आज तारीख पर्यंत गैर समजुतीनें जे दस्त साधे कागदावर केले असतील ते दस्त हा जाहिरनामा प्रसिद्ध झाले तारखेपासून सहा महिन्यांचे आंत छापून घेणेसाठीं नंबर १४ । १५ सन १२७३ फसलीतील जाहिरनाम्यांतील स्केलाप्रमाणें ऐन किमतीचें दसपट दंड व ऐन किंमत असे छापणेच्या दस्तासह ज्या पेट्यांतील ते राहणारे असतील त्या पेट्यांचे मामलेदार यांजकडे अर्जासह हजर करावे ह्मणजे ते छापणेसाठीं आपले रिपोर्टा बरोबर हुजूर रवाना करतील व रवाना केलेचा दाखला लेखीनें देऊन छापून आलेवर ज्याचा त्यास देऊन रसीद व दाखला दिलेला घेतील. वर

लिहिले मुदती पुढें या जाहिरनामेच्या पूर्वील जरूर दस्त छापले शिवाय कोणतेही अंमलदारा-समोर येतील तर ते निरूपयोगी समजले जातील.

हा जाहिरनामा प्रसिद्ध झाले तारखे पुढें कोणी नंबर १४।१५ सन १२७३ फसली-तील जाहिरनामे विरुद्ध साधे कागदावर लेवादेवी संबंधें दस्त करतील व ते अंमलदारासमोर येतील तर ते दस्त समजून उमजून बुध्या साधे कागदावर केले असें समजोन ते दस्त निरूपयोगी होतील व असे दस्त देणार घेणार रयत अपराधी समजोन शिक्षेसही पात्र होतील हें सर्वांनीं पुरें समजावें.

५ या इलाखेंत दिवाणी काम बहुतकरून देश रिवाजाप्रमाणें चालत असतें; परंतु कोणी वादी किंवा प्रतिवादी यांनीं राणीसरकारांतून न्याय रीतीच्या कानू ठरलेल्या समयपरत्वें दाख-वितील तर त्यांस मनाई नाहीं. मुनसफ कोर्टांत व हुजूर अपिलांत पाहण्यांत येऊन न्यायरीत व देशरिवाज मननांत आणोन नजरेस येईल तसें इनसाफाचे वेळेस फर्मावण्यांत येईल.

६ व्यापार संबंधीं सट्टे व पैसा दिला घेतले बद्दल पावतीं हे नंबर १४।१५ सन १२७३ फसली च्या जाहिरनामेतील स्केलाप्रमाणें होण्यास कित्येक लोकांच्या तक्रारी पडून याचा निरबंध निराळा व्हावा, असें पेठ्याचे अंमलदार यांजकडून जाहीर झालें आहे. यास्तव रयतेच्या हितासाठीं हल्लीपासून ठरविण्यांत येतें तें खालीं लिहिले पोट कलमाप्रमाणें.

सट्टेपत्र दहा रुपये रकमेच्या आंत असेल तर स्टांपाची गरज नाहीं. दहा रुपये पासून पांचशेहे पर्यंत १ एक रुपयेचा स्टांप, पांचशेहे पासून कितीही रकम असलेस दोन रुपयेचा इकडील छापील कागद असला पाहिजे.

लेवादेवी संबंधें पावतीस एक रुपया पर्यंत स्टांपाची गरज नाहीं. एक रुपया पासून शंभर रुपये पर्यंत ८— एक आणा, शंभर पासून पांचशेहें पर्यंत ८= दोन आणे, पांचशेहे पासून हजार पर्यंत -।- चार आणे, हजारापासून दोन हजार पावेतों -॥- आठ आणे, दोन हजारापासून कितीही रकम असलेस एक रुपयाचा छापील कागद इकडील पाहिजे.

७ निवाड्यावरून प्रतिवादीस तुरंगांत ठेवण्याविषयीं वादी दरखास्त देईल तर तो अगर ते प्रतिवादी खालीं लिहिले प्रमाणें तुरंगात राहतील व जबाबदार होतील.

शंभर रुपयेच्यावर दावा नसेल तर एक वर्ष पर्यंत प्रतिवादी तुरंगांत राहील परंतु दाव्याची रकम जुनबी असोन दाव्याचे रकमे इतका मुदतीचे आंत जर भत्याचा आकार होईल त्यावेळेस अगर वादी कडून भत्ता पावणेस कसूर होईल त्यावेळेस प्रतिवादी कैदेंतून लागलाच मुक्त केला जाईल. मग तो सदर रकमे पर्यंत कोणतेहि प्रकारें जबाबदार होणार नाहीं.

दोनशें रुपयेवर कितिही रकमेचा दावा असेल व तो निवाडा पुरा होणेंस प्रतिवादीस तुरंगांत ठेवण्यास वादी दरखास्त देईल तर दाव्याचे रकमे इतका भत्ता होईल तेव्हां अथवा तीन वर्षें पावेतों तुरंगांत राहील. दरम्यान वादीनें भत्ता देण्याचें इनकरून त्यांस सोडणेस अर्ज करील तर सुटला जाईल. परंतु दोनशें पावेतों अगर दोनशें वरील रकमेच्या दाव्या बद्दल प्रतिवादीची मिळकत सुटल्यापासून पांचवर्षांत वादी दाखवील तर ती जप्त करून लिलाव होऊन वादीचे निवाडेस देवविली जाईल.

जर वादी भत्ता देत असोन मुदतीचें आंत अगर दाव्याचे रकमेच्या आंत भत्याची रकम असोन प्रतिवादी वादीची तोडजोड करण्यासाठीं खुला होणेंस अर्ज करून कोर्टाची खात्री निवाडा पुरा करणेची करील अगर वादीस आपसांत समजून घेऊन राजीनामा करवील किंवा बोलणें लाऊन वादीचे संमतानें सुटेल तर वादीचा राजीनामा होई पर्यंत त्याचा तो जबाबदार आहे. व वादीचा निकाल न करितां दिरंगाईवर घालवील तर पुन्हा त्याजला तुरंगांत

वरील शर्ते पावेतों घालणेंस वादीस अधिकार आहे किंवा त्याची मालमिळकत जप्त करून निवाडा पुरा होणें विषयीं अर्ज करणेस वादीस अखत्यार आहे.

तुरंगांत पडलेला मनुष्य भी नादार आहें ह्मणोन सभ्य लोकांचें सर्टिफिकीट आपले वारसा कडून अगर मित्राकडून कोर्टासमोर आणील व तें गैर ह्मणण्यास वादी शक्तिमान न होईल तर सर्टिफिकीट पाहून कैदेंतून मुक्त केला जाईल; परंतु मुक्त झाले पासून बारा वर्षांचे आंत दार होईल तर निवाडा पुरा होणेस त्याची माल मिळकत जप्त करणेचा अर्ज करण्यास वादीस मनाई नाहीं.

८ खालील कोर्टाचा निवाडा झालेवर कोणी मुदतशीर अपील हुजुरास न करीलतर अवल कोर्टाचा निवाडा कायमं राहील. मुदतशीर अपील होऊन अगर मुदतीपुढें योग्य कारणानें अपील मंजूर झालेस त्याचा निवाडा होईल त्या तारखेपासून दोन महिन्यांत पोलिटक्याल खातेंत कोणी तक्रार न करीलतर निवाड पुरा होणेत वादीचे दरखास्ती प्रमाणें तजवीज होणें ती होईल.

९ इनाम जमिनी बद्दल अगर दुसरे स्थावर मिळकतीबद्दल दावा करणें झालेस एक सालचे आकाराचे अठरापटीनें दावा केला पाहिजे व जुडी जमिनीबद्दल कूल आकाराचे आठ पटीनें दावा केला पाहिजे.

१० साऱ्याचे जमिनीबद्दल दावा करणें जाहल्यास एक सालचे भरधाऱ्याच्या आकारा प्रमाणें दावा केला पाहिजे.

११ नादारीचे सर्टिफिकीट मिळणेंस अर्ज करणें झालेस अवल कोर्टास आठ आणेचे व अपील कोर्टास एक रुपयेचे छापील कागदावर अर्ज केला पाहिजे.

१२ वारसा सर्टिफिकीट मिळणेंसाठीं अर्ज करणें तो हुजूर अदालतीस एक रुपयेचे छापील कागदावर केला पाहिजे व तत्संबधीं पुरावा अर्ज देणें तोहि एक रुपयेचे छापील कागदावर देऊन त्यांत साक्षी व दस्तऐवज कितीही दिले तरी हरकत नाहीं.

१३ मुलकी फौजदारी मोकदम्यांत जामिनपत्र मुचलका राजिनामा देणें तो त्या दस्तऐवजांत जी रकम नमूद असेल आणि त्यांस नंबर १४ सन १२७३ फसलीचे जाहिरनामेतील कलम प्रमाणें छापील कागद असला पाहिजे.

१४ कारण परत्वें छांप न मिळाल्यास साधे कागदावर दस्तैवज होईल आणि नंबर १५ सन १२७३ फसलीचे जाहिरनामेतील-कलम १० प्रमाणें तजवीज न जाहल्यास सहा महिन्यांचे आंत ऐनाकिंमत व दसपट दंड दिला असतां तो दस्ता छापून दिला जाईल. मुदतीपुढें ऐन किंमत व वीसपट दंड छापून देणेंस पडेल.

१५ वर प्रसिद्ध झालेला जाहिरनामा व पूर्वी सन १२७२ फसली नंबर ५६ चा व सन १२७३ फसलींत नंबर १४।१५ चे असे जाहिरनामे प्रसिद्ध झाले आहेत. यांत कारण परत्वें कांहीं हेर फेर होणेचें योग्य दिसेल तेव्हा जो हेर फेर होईल त्या विषयीं जाहिरनामेचे द्वारें सर्वास प्रसिद्ध केलें जाईल.

येणें प्रमाणें पंधरा कलमे लिहिलीं आहेत. तारीख २० जून सन १८६९ इसवी. छ ९ रबिलावल उर्फ जेष्ट शुद्ध ११ रविवार शके १७९१ शुक्लनाम संवछर. सुमास बैन मया तैन व अलफ फसली सन १२७९.

लक्ष्मणराव माधव

मोर्तब.

वट्ट मु. जावक नं. १४
सन १२७३ फसली.

श्रीगणराज.

शिक्का.

सरकार राजश्री लक्ष्मणराव माधव मिरजकर यांचे हुजुरांतून.

जाहिरनामा तमाम लोकांस मामूल होण्याकरितां प्रगट केला जातो जेः— या इलाखेंत आजपर्यंत जे व्यवहार झाले ते साधे कागदावर असल्यामुळें प्रसंगीं खोटें ह्मणण्यास अवघड नसल्यानें प्रजेचे नुकसानीस कारण होतें. यास्तव व्यवहार तत्संबंधीं जाहलेले दस्तैवजास अगर अनेक प्रकारचे लेखास स्टांप लाविल्यानें सर्वत्रास हितावह होईल, असें अंतःकरणांत आणून ता. १५ माहे मोहरम सन १२७३ फसली— ता. २ माहे जुलई सन १८६३— आषाढ व. १ रोज गुरुवार शके १७८५ पासून स्टांपकागद अमलांत आणून वापरण्याचा ठराव केल्यामुळें संपूर्ण लोकांस कळण्यासाठीं व हुशार राहणे बद्दल या जाहिरनाम्याच्या द्वारें हुकूम फर्माविण्यांत आला आहे.

१ कोण कोणते दस्तैवजास किती किती किमतीचा स्टांपकागद लागेल त्याजबद्दलचें स्केल ह्मणजे समजुतीचे आंकडे खालीं लिहिले प्रमाणें.

२ धनकोनें रिणकोस ऐवज देऊन घेतलेला दस्त अथवा स्थावर अगर जंगम माल तारण ह्मणजे गहाण ठेऊन घेतलेले ऐवजाचें दिलेलें गहाणपत्र व कांहीं स्थावर अगर जंगम मिळकत प्रोक्त देऊन अगर घेऊन त्याजबद्दल जाहलेलें विकरीपत्र, इनामपत्र, दानपत्र, बक्षीसपत्र, करारपत्र, मृत्युपत्र, वारसपत्र, जामीनपत्र, लग्नपत्र ह्मणजे जाहलेला करार, कौलनामा, कबुलायत, भाडेचिट्ठी, फारखत— अशा प्रकारचे दस्तैवजास अगर लेखास स्टांप लागणेचा त्याजबद्दलचें स्केल एक रुपयापर्यंतचे दस्तैवजास अगर लेखास स्टांपाचें अगत्य नाहीं:—

स्टांपाची किंमत गाडी रुपये.

पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.	पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.	पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.	पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.
१	१५	८१	१५	३०	८२	३०	६०	८४	६०	१००	८८
१००	२००	१	२००	३००	२	३००	५००	४	५००	८००	८
८००	१,०००	१०	१,०००	१,५००	२०	१,५००	३,०००	३०	३,०००	५,०००	५०
५,०००	१०,०००	१००	१०,०००	२०,०००	१५०	२०,०००	५०,०००	२००	५०,०००	१,००,०००	३००

एक लक्षापुढे कितीही रकम असेल तरी वरील ठरावाप्रमाणें तीनशे रुपयांहून अधीक रकमेचे दस्तैवजास वरील नियमाप्रमाणें स्टांप लागेल.

१ हुंडी ह्मणजे इकडील इलाखेंत राहणारे इसमानें याच हद्दींतील माणसावर अमक्यास अमूक ऐवज देण्याविषयीं केलेली चिट्ठी व रिणकोनीं धनकोपासून घेतलेली पावती अशा प्रकारचे दस्तैवजास स्टांप लागणेचा त्याजबद्दलचें स्केलः—

पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.	पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.	पासून	पर्यंत	किंमत.
१	२५	८१	२५	५०	८२	५०	१००	८४
१००	२००	८८	२००	५००	१	५००	१,०००	२

हजार रकमेपासून पुढें कितीही रकम असेल तरी स्टांपाची किंमत चार रुपये.

३ दिवाणी कोर्टांत व अन्य कामांत कोणकोणत्या रकमास किती-किती रकमेचा स्टांपकागद वापरला जाईल, याजबद्दल अलाहिदा जाहिरनामा प्रगट करण्यांत आला आहे.

४ स्टांपकागदांचा संग्रह पेटा गुडगेरी व पेटा कुरोली व पेटा कवठे एकंद व हुजुरांत असा चार ठिकांणीं करण्यांत आला आहे.

येणेप्रमाणें चार कलमी जाहिरनामा संपूर्ण लोकांस कळण्यासाठीं प्रसिद्ध करण्यांत आला असे. तारीख २७ माहे जिल्हेज सन १२७३ फसली.

मोरो यादव हुजूरकारकून.

गोपाळ नारायण हुजूरकामपाहणार.
मोर्तब.

M. J. S. P. C. 100.

civil.

No. 7 of 1918.

Diwan's Office Savanur.

3rd January, 1918.

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalvedekar Esqr., B.A.,

Divan of Savanur.

To,

Meharban Captain Nawab Saheb,

Dilor Jung Bahadur, Savanur State.

Sir..

As per your-verbal orders I asked the Karbaris of the States noted in the margin to kindly supply me with the information embodied in this Office No. 547 dated 1-12-17 Copy of which is appended. The replies in original are enclosed for favour of perusal.

Jath
Akkalkot
Budgaum (Miraj junior)
Mudhol
Ramdurg

2). The Stamp Act, the Court fees Act and the Registration Act, that are in force in British Districts, are in force in Jath, Akkalkot and Ramdurg and the stamp duty and the registration fees levied are also the same.

In Mudhol too the same Acts are in force. The Registration rules however are different, being those obtaining in the Kolhapur State.

In Budgaum the Stamp Act and the Registration Act are different from those in British Districts. The former Act is very old being introduced as early as 1869, as would appear from the Marathi Notification enclosed. I understand that there is no separate Court fees Act in the State, that there is only a Schedule to show advalorem rates of Court fees and that the rates of stamp duty and Court fees do not, on the whole, compare very favourably with those now in force in Savanur.

3). If the stamp duty is increased by 25% all round we have not got instruments to manufacture stamps of the

value of 0-1-3 & 0-2-6. If the present duty on documents requiring one anna and two anna stamps is retained, there will be no difficulty in manufacturing stamps of other de--nominations with the present instruments and selling them after raising the duty by 25%. This is as regards the working of the schedule of General stamp Act.

4).Before raising the Court fees by 25% all round we shall have to revise the schedule of the Court fees Act,so that there will be no fractions of an anna creeping in.For instance,according to the present schedule of the Court ~~fe~~ fees Act,the advalorem fees from rupee one to Rs.5/- is annas 6. If 25% is added to this the result will be annas 7½. These fees may therefore be raised to annas 8. If this is not done there will be fractions of annas leviable throught the present schedule.

5).I would respectfully make another proposal for your consideration with a view to increase the Revenue from General stamps and Court fees. for other grounds.

The present Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act, which is now in force in Savanur and which has not been brought into force in any of the Southern Maratta Native States,as far as I know, may be abolished.The abolition of the Act is very likely to result in Monitory transactions on a larger scale and will increase the number of Civil Suits as before. These transactions and the Suits will automatically increase the sale of General and Court fee stamps.

This Relief Act,appears to be in force only in the Bombay Presidency and not in any other part of British ~~In~~ India.It is a Bombay Act and not an India Act.

Even from the Rayats' point of view the abolition of the Act would conduce to their ultimate benefit as it will practically stop the many sham transactions with ~~wha~~ which one now meets.

This measure may be given a fair trial for a year or two and if it does not result in a substantial increase

in the stamp Revenue, the proposed increase of the stamp duty by 25% may be brought into force or both the measures may be adopted simultaneously as you may be pleased to think proper.

6). The increase in the Registration fees by 25% involves no difficulty of the kind indicated above. The avarage of past five years' Registration fees comes to Rs.530/-. The increase by 25% would be Rs.130/- in round figures.

7). If any change is to be introduced in the Schedules of General stamp Act and the Court fees Act or if the Bombay D.A.R. Act is to be abolished, a formal public Notice will have be given in Savanur and in the State villages.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Divan of Savanur.

No 32 of 1918

Huzur Office Savanur.

21st February 1918

Returned with.

2. The forecast daily on Documents requiring one anna & two anna stamps is retained. The stamp daily on documents of other denominations (both general & Court fees stamps) & the registration fees are raised by 25% all round.

3. The ~~of~~ schedule of the Court fees Act shd. be revised so as to fix the Court fees at the rate of 8 annas for every five rupees or fractions thereof & it shd. be submitted

To the H.S. for approval.

4 The rates of revenue, Civil, & Criminal Copying fees, Comparing fees & cost of papers should be made double the present rate now charged therefor.

5 The Bombay D.A.R. Act shd be abolished from the State as it is in no way advantageous to the Agriculturists of this State.

6. A formal public notice shd be issued as to the above changes being made with effect from 1st Zilhaj.

Sd/ Abdulmajid Khan
Capt.
Nawab of Sawantwadi

No 122 of 19.8
19/2/18

Respectfully resubmitted

A revised schedule under the Court fee Act is submitted herewith for favour of approval as per 3 above.

Sd/ [illegible]
Hd Dewan

No 174 of 19.8
Huzur office
28/2/18

Rtd to H.

2) The revised schedule of the Court-fees Act herewith enclosed is returned approved.

Sd/ Abdulmajid Khan
Nawab

No 7 of 1918

Diwan's office Savanur
3rd January 1918.

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalwedkar
Esquire B.A. Diwan of
Savanur.

To,

Meherban Lt Nawab Sahib
Diler Jung Bahadur
Savanur State.

Sir,

As per your verbal orders I asked the Karbharis of the States noted in the margin to kindly supply viz. with the information embodied in this office No 547 dated 1-12-17 copy of which is appended. The replies in original are enclosed for favour of perusal.

Jath
Akkalkot
Budgao (Miraj Junior)
Mudhol
Ramdurg.

2) The Stamp Act, No Court fees Act:

and the Registration Act, that are in force in British districts, are in force in Jath, Akalkot, & Ramdurg and the Stamp duty and the Registration fees levied are also the same.

In Mudhol too the same Acts are in force. The Registration rules however are different, being those obtaining in the Kolhapur State.

In Budgaon the Stamp Act and the Registration Act are different from those in British districts. The former Act is very old being introduced in 1869, as would appear from the Marathi notification enclosed. I understand that there is no separate Court fees Act in that State, that there is an Schedule to show ad valorem rates of Court fees that the rates of Stamp duty & Court fees do not on the whole compare very

3). If the Stamp duty is increased favourably with those now in force in Savanur by 25% all round we have not got instruments to manufacture stamps of the value of 0-1-3. & 0-2-6. If the present duty on documents

requiring one anna & two anna stamps is retained, there will be no difficulty in manufacturing stamps of other denominations with the present instruments & selling them after raising the duty by 25 % ~~all round~~. This is as regards the working of the ~~Schedule~~ Schedule of General Stamp Act.

4.) Before raising the Court fees by 25 % all round, we shall have to revise the Schedule of the Court fees Act so that there will be no fractions of an anna creeping ~~in~~ in. For instance, according to the present Schedule of the Court fees Act, the advalorem fees from Rupee one to 5 is annas 6. If 25 % is added to this the result will be annas 7½. These fees may therefore be raised to annas eight. If this ~~is~~ is not done there will be fractions of annas ~~creeping in~~ leviable throughout the present schedule.

5) I would respectfully make another proposal for your consideration with a view to increase the revenue from ~~the~~ General Stamps & Court fees.

The present Deccan Agriculturists' Relief Act, which is now in force in Savanur & which has not been brought into force in any of the Southern Mahratta Native States, as far as I know, may be abolished. The abolition of the Act in Savanur ~~The abolition~~ abolition of the ~~Act in Savanur~~ ~~may increase~~ to result in ~~increase~~ monetary transactions on a large scale and will increase ~~treble~~ the number of civil suits as before. These transactions & the suits will automatically increase the sale of General & Court fee Stamps ~~& the Civil Suits will increase the sale of Court fee Stamps~~.

This Relief Act, appears to the ~~I understand~~ ~~is~~ in force only in the Bombay Presidency ~~&~~ not in any other part of British India. It is a Bombay Act

and not an India Act.

Even from the Ryots' point of view the abolition of the Act would conduce to their ultimate benefit as it will practically stop the many sham transactions with which one now meets.

This measure may be given a fair trial for a year or two and if it does not result in a substantial increase in the Stamp Revenue, the proposed increase of the Stamp duty by 25 o/o may then be brought into force or if you think both the measures may be adopted simultaneously as may be thought proper

6) The increase in the Registration fees by ~~[illegible]~~ 25 o/o ~~more~~ ~~includes~~ involves no ~~the~~ difficulty of the kind indicated above. The average past

~~The average of past~~ 5 years' Registration fees comes to Rs 530/-

The increase by 25 o/o would be:
Rs 130 in round figures.

7) If any change is to be introduced in the Schedules of General Stamp Act & the Court fees Act, or if the Bombay D.A.R. Act is to be abolished, a formal Public Notice will have to be given in Savanur and in the State villages.

Yours
RM

No. 547 of 1917.

Divan's Office, Savanur.

1st December, 1917.

From,

Rajerao Vithal Mangalvedekar Esqr. B.A.

Divan of Savanúr.

To,

The Karbhari Saheb of ~~Jath, Akalkot,~~ Budgaum,

~~(Miraj Junior)~~ Mudhol & Ramdurg.

Author's Karbhari have been addressed

Sir,

I should feel highly obliged by your kindly giving me the following information:-

2). Whether the stamp Act, Court fees Act & Registra--tion Act that are in force in British India are in force in your State; and if so, the stamp duty and the registration fees are also the same.

3). If your State has these Acts of its own, I should be glad to know if the stamp duty and regisrtation fees are different and what the rates are.

4). If the State has these Acts of its own, copies may kindly be sent for perusal. They will be returned when done with if they are wanted.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your Most Obedient Servant,

Divan of Savanur.

x నేపథ్యము

[illegible]

కేంద్ర శాసనసభ నూతన నియమావళిలోని 32 వ నియమం ప్రకారం 21-1-18 [illegible] ఈ క్రింద తెలిపిన సూచనలు [illegible] ఇవ్వవలసినదిగా కోరుచున్నాము.

(1) నిర్బంధ లక్ష్యము -

నిర్బంధ లక్ష్య నిర్ణయాలు [illegible] ఉండకూడదు. [illegible] నిర్బంధ లక్ష్యాలు [illegible] ఉండకూడదు. [illegible] ఇవ్వవలెను. [illegible] ఇవ్వవలెను.

(2) [illegible] -

[illegible] 100% [illegible] 2% [illegible] ఇవ్వవలెను.

(3) [illegible] -

[illegible] 1% [illegible] ఇవ్వవలెను.

(4) [illegible]

[illegible]

(5) [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 23.1.18

[illegible]

[illegible] 23-1-18

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಯು-ಬಿ ನಂ ೧೧

ನೆಲಮಂಗಲ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನ ಎಂಎ ಬಾಂಧವ್ಯದ ಪಿಂಚಣಿ

ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ವಿಲೇವಾರಿ

ಮಾನ್ಯ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಕೋರಿಕೆಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ದಾಖಲೆಗಳನ್ನು ಆಯುಕ್ತರ
೧೦-೨-೧೮

ಕಛೇರಿಯಿಂದ ಪಡೆದು ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿ ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೃತ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯ
೧೩-೧-೧೮

ಸಹೋದರಿಯಾದ ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಚಂದ್ರಮ್ಮ ಎಂಬ ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಿ ಸದರಿ ಮೃತ ವ್ಯಕ್ತಿಯ ಭಾವಚಿತ್ರವನ್ನು ಅಳವಡಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿ
ಕೊಡಲು ಮೇಲಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಶಿಫಾರಸ್ಸು ಮಾಡಿದೆ

ತಹಶೀಲ್ದಾರರು

ಮಾ-ಭಾಗ 32

ನವನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ
ಬಾಯಿಬಿಟ್ಟಿದೆ

ಮೊಂಚಿಬ ನವನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ದ ಸಾ ಉಪಸಂಸ್ಥೆಗಳ ಅಂಗ ಮೊಲಗಳು ಲಾಭದಾಯಕ
ಆಗ್ಯ ಕಂಪನಿಯಾಗಿ ಬಂದ ಸಾ-ಮ-ಬಾ-ನ 3ನ್ನ ದಿನಾಂಕ 23-1-18 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದ್ದ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ
ಶೂನ್ಯ ಮೊಂಚಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಮುಖ್ಯಸ್ಥರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಮಂದ ಪ್ರತಿಕ್ರಿಯೆ
ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ ಮತ್ತೆ - ಕಾರ್ಯಸಾಧ್ಯತೆ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಲು
ಸೂಚಿಸಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆಗಳು ಅಂತಿಮವಾಗಿ

ಸಹಾಯಕ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಗಳು
ನವ ನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ

ಸಂ. ಬಾ. ಇಲಾಖೆ-35

ಕಾ. ಬಾ. ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ನವನಿರ್ಮಾಣ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ

ಕಡತ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಿದೆ - - - -

ಮೊಂಚಿ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆಗಳ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಅಂದರೆ ಮೊಲಗಳು ಲಾಭದಾಯಕ
ಆಗ್ಯ ಕಂಪನಿಯಾಗಿ ಮಾರ್ಪಟ್ಟಿದೆ 27/1/18.

ಇದ್ದು ಸಾ-ಮ-ಬಾ-ನ 316 ದಿನಾಂಕ 23-1-18 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿರುವ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥೆ ಮೊಂಚಿ
ಯಿಂದ ಕೈಗಾರಿಕಾ ಸಚಿವರಿಗೆ ಬರೆದಿರುವ ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಉಲ್ಲೇಖಿಸಿರುವ
ಉಳಿದಿರುವ - ಅಂಶಗಳು

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ: ಆಇ/ಕಾಇ/ಕಾಇ/ಕಾ

ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ
ಶಾಖೆ

ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಸಂಘದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

ಸ್ಟೇಟ್ ಬ್ಯಾಂಕ್ ಆಫ್ ಇಂಡಿಯಾ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಸಂಘದ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ದಿನಾಂಕ ೧೨-೧-೧೯ ರಂದು ಜರುಗಿದ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡಾವಳಿಯಂತೆ ಸಂಘದ ಕೇಂದ್ರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿಣಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರನ್ನಾಗಿ ಆಯ್ಕೆ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಈ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು.

316
13-1-19

ಸಂಘದ ಸದಸ್ಯರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಂಗತಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದು.

ಸಹಿ/-
ಸಂ. ಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

ಶ್ರೀ. ಮಲ್ಲಾಪುರ ಶಿಂಗ್-

ನಂ 2

ಸಾಹೇಬರವರಿಗೆ ನಮಸ್ಕಾರ ಮಾಡಿ ಬರೆದ

ಕಾಗದ ಏನಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ ತಂದೆ

ಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ ಸಾ: ಕೆಂಚನಗುಡ್ಡ ಇದ್ದೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಮಲ್ಲಾಪುರ ಶಿಂಗ್

ನಮ್ಮ ಹೊಲ ಅಂದಾಜಿ ಹೆಕ್ಟೇರ್ ಲೆಕ್ಕ ಕಾಯ್ದೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ

ಬಾಯಿ ಮಾತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೆ ಅದರಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿ

ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಕೋರಿಕೆ

ಗುರುಸಿದ್ದಪ್ಪ

ಕುಕನೂರ

(1)

His Highness the Nawab of
Savanur State

Respected sir,

I the undersigned Narayan Gurumath Kangudri B.A. L.L.B., pleader of Dharwar, beg ~~to~~ apply for a Sanad authorizing me to practise in all the courts of the Savanur State for one year from the date of the issue of the said Sanad. I have produced Rs 30 for the purpose. I need not state any thing for my qualifications which are already well known to you. As I am engaged in a civil suit fixed for arguments on the 26th instant, before the Subjudge at Savanur, I have made this application for an immediate issue of a Sanad & I hope that your Highness will be pleased to issue it as early as possible.

Dharwar
18-9-18

Through the Dewan Savanur

Yours respectfully &c
Your Highness's most obedient servant
N. M. Kangudri Pleader

Notified Rs 30
18/9/18

Sanad
84

No 7.30 of 1918

Dio ai's Office Savanur
20th September 18

Respectfully forwarded to —
Captain Meherban Nawabzahab Dilerjung
Bahadur Savanur State.

2. Rs. 30-0-0 on account of the fees for the Sanad, have been credited to the Try.
on 18-9-18 & the Sanad asked for may kindly be issued

Rajamanikkam
Dwaitavan.

Office
Issued a sanad on completing formalities
4/2/19

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE
No. 618
20-9-18
Compilation

No 884 of 1918
Huzur Office, Sav
29 Sept. 18

Returned w/c.

2) The accompanying Sanad should please be handed over to the pleader concerned, on making a note of the same in the register maintained for the purpose
3) The subject T.C. maybe informed accordingly.

Abdul Rauf
Capt.
Nawab of Sav.

Office
The sanad is sent to the pleader herein
23/12/18

Dno 868 29/9/18

No. 872 of 1918
Forwarded w/c to the Subjudge Savanur for favour of compliance

Rec 30/9/18 Savanur 29/9/18 Rajamanikkam Diwan

Below No 872 of 29-9-18 from the
Dwan of Savanur.

No 187 of 1919.

Returned with compliments

2) The pleader concerned, did not as yet, send the receipt of the said, which was sent to him on the 23rd December 1918; though several reminders were issued to him.

3) This difficulty, the undersigned always feels, as the pleaders are residing in the British Districts.

4.) The enclosed applications of Mr.

Sidney pleader Dharwar & Mr. Apte pleader Hubli are also of the same sort, though no reminders were sent to them

5) A note in the register kept for the purpose has been duly taken.

Reminder
25/10/29

Submitted C.J.C.

Omo.
9/29

No offg Dewan's office forms.
27-10-29.

~~Submitted to the Chairman~~

Filed
27-10-29

To
His Highness The Nawabsahib
of Savanur.

Respected Sir

The undersigned begs to apply for a renewal of his sanad to practise as a pleader in the Savanur Courts for one year more i.e. from 1st April 1919 to 31st March 1920. The requisite fee of Rs 30 is produced herewith. The officer is requested to issue the required sanad ~~with~~ be issued.

Sir.

Your most obedient & humble servant.

G. Rivenna
pleader Shiram

30 to June 1919
with Rs 30/-

Recd
7.4.19

Sanad
Hon Nawabsahib
Hr

No. 778 Offg. Dewan of Savanur
Sd. Jeelagi

Respectfully submitted.

Rs 30/- Thirty received have this day been credited to the treasury. The humble sanad may, in the opinion of the Ld, be renewed.

Dewan

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR
I. No. 607
Completed
2/4/19

No 573 of 1919

Amzur Office, Savur
2nd July 1919

Returned with compliments for information & communication to the Subject of F.C. of Savanur, as the accompanying sanad is renewed till the end of March 1920.

2) The renewed sanad should please be returned to the pleader concerned.

Recd 3/7/19

Sa. 634

Nawab of Savanur.

No 79 of 19 Dewan's Office Savanur
4th July 19.

Forwarded copy to the S.J.

F.C. Savanur for Compliance.

Dewan Savanur.

Recd 7/7/19

Office The sanad is sent to the pleader concerned & await his receipt for the same.
7/7/19.

Sent with this office to the Dewan Sahib Savanur.
25/10/19

To

Meherban Captain Nanabsaheb,

Dilep Jang Bahadur, Savanur State.

Sir,

I beg to enclose herein the Sanad kindly granted by your Honour and to request the favour of its kindly being renewed for the year 1919-20 so as to enable me to appear in an appeal in the Honourable High Court at Savanur in Appeal No.5 of 1919 on behalf of the Respondent Sardar Mahabubalikhansaheb.

I understand the appeal is fixed for hearing on the 4th August 1919 and I therefore request your Honour to be pleased to renew same in time. I beg to enclose herein Rs.30 the usual renewal fees.

I beg to be Sir,

Your Honour's most obedient servt.

Hubli:

11th July 1919.

R.V. Apte
Pleader.

Fees by postregister
without Rs 30/-
18/7/19

Office Note Received Rs 30/- Thirty only from Sardar Abdul Kahabab Alikhan Saheb on account of the fees of the Sanad for the Pleader. 21-7-19.

Cashier
Chitnis

No 6... of 1919
Auzer Office, Savanur
22nd July 1919.

Rs 30/- Forwarded with compliments to the Diwan of Savanur & the Subedar of Savanur in suggesting the information, note & return of fee of Rs 30/- thirty only herewith sent should please be credited to the Inam of the date of credit reported.

28/7

3) The accompanying Sanad renewed till the end of March 1920 should please be returned to the place concerned.

To be returned please.

Nawab of Savanur.

Jms 2.07.

Rs. (30-00) Thirty only have this day been credited to the Treasury 26/7/19.

Dewan

No 867 of 19 Dewan's Office Savanur
28th July 19

Forwarded with Compliments to the Sub-Judge F.C. Savanur for compliance with para 3 above.

Dewan Dy Judge
Savanur

Office. The pleader Mr Apte is requested to send the receipt of the sanad which is sent already
31/7/19 SL
Subhedar

Sent to the Dewan Saheb with this Office No.
25/10/19 SL
Sd

Copy of this office Memo. no. 1141 dated 13-10-19 to the Sub--Judge First class Savanur.

The Sub-Judge first class is requested to order the bailiff to keep a petrol book and enetr in it every day what work he does. He should submit the copies of entries of his petrol -- book every monday to the undersigned through the Sub-Judge. Whenever he goes away from Head quarters, he should invariably enter into the visit book of the Police Patils of the villa--ges he visits on duty as to what work he does there and ob--tain their signature to his Petrol book."

No. 1182 of 1919 Divan's office Savanur

25th October 1919.

Copy respectfully submitted to Captain Meherban Nawabsaheb Dilerjung Bahadur for favour of perusal.

Divan of Savanur state

OFFICE OF THE NAWAB OF SAVANUR STATE
I. No. 9211
23-10-1919
Compilation

No. 9 of 1919

Amyr office, Srinagar

29th October 1919

Returned with compliments after perusal

[illegible] Capt.

Nawab of [illegible]

No

District Judge's Office
Savanur
30th April 20

Memo:-

To suit the convenience of this Office better than at present Mr. Laxaman Balaji Ghatikar, Personal Assistant to the Dewan & District Judge, is appointed clerk of the District Court vice Mr B. B. Sarkar from the 1st May 1920, pending further orders.

R. Kulkarni
Dist Judge
Savanur

No

Copies forwarded to both of them i.e.

(1) Mr B.B. Sawaker.

(2) " R.B. Gharatine

1-5-20 Raghavan
P.A.

O.C. - Draw & sir judge

File.
A.J.

No. 838 of 1920,

Divan's office, Pavanur,

Dated 24th June 1920.

M E M O : -

The undersigned presents compliments to the pleaders and has the honour to inform them that under Huzur orders the Civil court -- will not be closed ~~through~~ during the month of May although no civil cases will be fixed during the period.

District Judge.

Mr. Bhimacharya Pleader.

" Rangacharya "

"Kathote "

" Gokakkar "

Venakataramacharya."

1/7 Divan

From

Shrinivas Rajerao Mudivedkar,
Magistrate, First Class,
Savanur.

To,

The Diwansaheb,
Savanur State, Savanur.

J. C. Magistrate's Office,
Savanur, 13th May 1920.

Sir,

I have the honour to send herewith the papers in re Basawwa Kom Chanvirgauda Patil's complaint application against Mr Bhimacharya, a leading pleader of the Savanur Bar, for favour of your kind perusal.

2 The Complainant Basawwa charges Mr Bhimacharya with having committed criminal breach of trust, with respect to a Kabulayat which she had entrusted to him for producing

it in the Assistance or Madati suit No 7 of 1918-19 which she had brought against Shivarudrappa bin Virbhadrappa Hadkar and wherein she had engaged the services of Mr Bhimacharya as her pleader. She urges that the said pleader had produced the said Kabulayat in the said Madati suit on her behalf and as her pleader; that the Accused Bhimacharya took back the said Kabulayat from the Diwan's office and in stead of returning it to her as he was bound to do, produced it in the District Magistrate's Court in Mis. case No 1 of 1919 (a case under Section 145 of the Criminal Procedure Code brought by one Huchayya Vibhuti of Madapur against the present complainant, Iranganda and two others) on behalf of her opponent Huchayya and as his pleader; that the Accused had thereby caused wrongful loss to her and wrongful gain to her opponent Huchayya and that it is therefore clear that the Accused Bhimacharya

has

has acted dishonestly.

3 Ex. 2 shows that the complainant had engaged the services of the Accused Bhimacharya as her pleader in the madati suit she had brought against Shivarudrappa Hadkar and that the said pleader had presented therein his Vakalatnama on the 3rd of July 1919.

4 Ex. 3 is a copy of the Vakalatnama presented by the Accused in Mis. Case No 1 of 1919 of the District Magistrate's Court, which was tried under Sec. 145 of the Cri. Pro. Code on the Complaint of Huchayya as next friend and natural father of the minor Fakirganda, son of Chanvirganda by adoption against the complainant and others. The Accused Bhimacharya has presented this Vakalatnama in the said case as pleader of the said minor Fakirganda. The said Vakalatnama was presented in the said case on the 20th November 1919.

5 Ex. 4 is a copy of the application made by the Accused Bhimacharya as pleader for Basawwa (the present complainant) praying for the return of the

Kabulayat

Kabulayat produced in the madati suit. It is worth noting that this application is dated the 1st December 919 i.e. about 11 days after he accepted the Vakalatnama on behalf of Huchayya in the above mentioned Mis. Case No 1 of 1919 of the District Magistrate's Court. It will be seen from the contents of the said copy that the Kabulayat was returned to the said pleader on the 8th December 1919.

6 Ex. 7 is a copy of the Kabulayat dated the 12th July 1918 executed by Shivarudrappa Hadkar in favour of Basavva. It will be seen from the said copy that the Accused Bhimacharya has himself produced the Kabulayat in the madati suit on the 3rd July 1919 and in the Cri. Mis No 1 of 1919 on the 11th December 1919, i.e. 3 days after he received it back from the madati Court.

7 The case papers in Mis. Cri. Case No 1 of 1919 were sent to this Court by the Dt Magistrate at my request. I ascertained from it that the said complaint was lodged by Huchayya as next friend of his natural son Fakirgauda against Basavva and 3 others under sec. 145 of the Cri. Pro. code. The Accused Bhimacharya was the pleader in this case on behalf of Huchayya against Basavva & others. His Vakalatnama is exhibited at 8. The original Kabulayat (with respect to which the Accused is charged by the complainant with having committed Criminal breach of trust) is exhibited at 20. It is presented by Bhimacharya in this case on behalf of Huchayya on the 11th of December 1919 i.e. soon after the said pleader got it back

from

from the Diwan's Office.

8 The Accused was the pleader on behalf of Basawa (the present complainant) in the above mentioned madati suit. He had in that capacity produced the Kabulayat (ex. 20 in Cri. Mis. Case No 1 of 1919) in the said suit. It is clear from this that the said Kabulayat must have been entrusted to the Accused Bhimacharya by Basawa, as her pleader, to produce it in the madati suit and he had produced it accordingly. The Accused has taken it back from the Madati Court as pleader on the said Basawa's behalf. He was therefore in duty bound to return it to the said Basawa. It can be easily presumed from the mutual relation between the Accused and Basawa as pleader & client that there was an implied contract between them that the pleader should return it to his client when he gets it back. He has, on the contrary, produced it on behalf of Huchayya or the minor Fakirgauda in the Mis Cri. Case No 1 of 1919 brought by the said Huchayya against Basawa & others, thereby causing wrongful loss to Basawa and wrongful gain to Huchayya. There is therefore prima facie evidence in this case to show that the Accused has committed criminal breach of trust. He has done this in the capacity of Basawa's pleader. He may therefore be termed Basawa's Attorney. From all this it is clear that there is prima facie evidence to

show

show that the Accused has committed an offence under Sec. 409 or at least under Sec. 406 of the I. P. Code.

¶ I beg most respectfully to submit, however, that there are some mitigating circumstances in the present case. If he had taken a certified copy of the Kabulayat from the Madati Court on behalf of Huchayya and then taken back the Kabulayat from the said Court and returned it to Basavva, he could then have given a notice to Basavva for producing it in Court as provided in Sec. 66 of the Evidence Act and in case she did not produce it he could have proved its contents by the secondary evidence of its certified copy under Sec. 65 of the said Act. Instead of following this prudent & lawful course, Mr Bhimacharya has brought himself into the clutches of the Penal law by his recklessness. I freely admit that his conduct is highly reprehensible, especially as he is a pleader. He may have his own defence and perhaps he may be able to get through unscathed if he be prosecuted. It may at the same time be taken into consideration that no very serious loss is occasioned to Basavva or to any body else by this rash act of the Accused. The offence may therefore be termed technical. It may also be kindly taken into consideration that Bhimacharya belongs to a respectable family of Savanur, that he is a pleader of pretty long standing and that he is a leading member of that honourable profession. Taking all these facts into consideration,

I have the honour to recommend that he may not be prosecuted for having committed an offence under Sec. 409 or Sec. 406 of the I. P. Code, but his case may kindly be dealt with departmentally. This can only be done by the order of His Highness the Nawab Saheb. This report along with the accompanying papers may therefore be kindly submitted to His Highness and his orders to that effect be solicited with your kind and favourable recommendation to that effect.

10 In case His Highness be of opinion that law should have its own course and that he is not disposed to show any clemency to Mr. Bhimacharya, I humbly request that a prosecutor be kindly appointed to conduct the case as the complainant is an ignorant and simple rustic woman and too poor to appoint any pleader on her behalf. Mr. Bhimacharya is a pleader and consequently well versed in law. He would therefore object if I were to put questions on behalf of the prosecution. The offence with which he is charged is cognizible and so it must be looked upon as against the State. A prosecutor can therefore be appointed in this case on behalf of the State.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
Magistrate, F.C.

No 996 of 1920.

Respectfully submitted to Capt. Meh: Nawab Sahib Dilar Jung Bahadur, Savanur for favour of orders:-.

The 2 Cases referred to by the Magistrate First class, above viz- the Assistance Suit & the Criminal Proceeding under §145 CPC were heard & decided by the W/S in his Court. The facts of these Cases are briefly as under:-

The Complainant Basava is a widow, who belongs to a watandar's 'Patil' family at Madapur. She enjoys a right of service of Patil of that village. One Huchaya Vibhuti gave his minor son in adoption to her & adoption deed was executed according by the widow in 1918. She lived with him or under his (Huchaya's) Care for some time since then. In 1919 one of her tenants failed to pay rents to her.

Sd/-

She filed the assistance suit referred to by the magistrate, against her tenant under the provisions of L.R. Code, in the H/S's Revenue Court, with the result that she got the rent asked for. In this suit she had engaged Mr Bhimacharya as her pleader, who had produced the Kabulayit referred to in the first class magistrate's report above, which was executed by the tenant in favour of the widow, in token of having taken lease of a land from her.

Subsequent to this suit, one Irangavda, who was the son of the widow's husband's brother, was alleged to have induced her to join him, by which Irangavda asserted his own rights, on the lands said to be belonging to & be in the possession of the widow. It may be noted here, ~~that~~ as right judged by the magte i.e in para 9 of his report, the widow, who is aged

aged 55 or 60 years being destitute of some of her limbs is simpleton & also capable of being easily duped by either party: This being the case, Drangavda was seriously alleged before the W/S as Dist magt to threaten the limants, & reap the crops standing on the lands the belonging to the widow or her minor adopted son. Huchaya thus instituted Criminal Proceedings under §145 Cr P. code, on behalf of the said adopted son, against Drangavda & the widow was also made a party in the case, as she was alleged to have colluded with Drangavda. Huchaya's complaint was that the lands were owned by his minor son, through the widow, that, Drangavda, with a view to deprive his son of the crops thereon, tried to oust them from the lands unauthorized by force, by which breach of peace was likely result in and

that his minor son should be declared the entitled to the crops. In this case Mr Bhimachariya represented the minor son. The case was fully heard by the Ress & the decision arrived at by him was that both the parties might get their claims to the crops settled in the Civil Court and that until then, the tenant concerned should hand over the crop to the receiver appointed by the Court. Therefore since then, the tenant deposited the value of the crops in the Ress's Office pending production by either party an order of the Civil Court.

Mr Bhimachariya produced the said Kabulayat (rent-note) in this Criminal case, to prove that the widow, admitted in the said assistance suit that the lands in dispute belonged to her & were in her possession. The production of the said rent note in the latter case by Mr Bhimachariya

was complained of subsequently by the widow, as being an offence of criminal breach of trust, committed by the former.

This is all the story of the present case brought against the said pleader.

The Magistrate F.C states in para 9 of his report, that though there appears, blc a prima facie case against Mr Bhimacharya, there are some mitigating circumstances favorable to him to be considered, viz; no serious loss has occasioned, to the complainant or to any one else by the alleged act of the pleader, that, the offence he thinks may be a technical one and that, the accused being respectable might get off scot free, for these & other reasons which he might put forward in his defence, if prosecuted. The magte F.C, therefore proposes to take notice of the pleaders' alleged misconduct, departmentally.

Mr Bheimacharya pleader on being called upon, gave his explanation, which is enclosed, stating that it was not the complainant, but, it was Huchaya who handed over the said rent-note to him asking him to produce it in the assistance suit, that Irangoda subsequently misled her by which she was induced to depose in the said Criminal Proceeding, to the effect that, the lands in dispute were not in her possession but were in possession of Irangowda and that in order to guard the interest of the minor and herself in the land in dispute, the said rent-note was produced by him bona fide and without any motive during the said Criminal Proceeding even though she was a party against the minor.

The Complainant Basava lately appeared of her own accord before the U/S and presented an application which is enclosed praying for permission to withdraw her said complaint. Her statement has been recorded before the U/S. She now supports the explanation offered by the pleader and does not desire to proceed with her complaint.

She now states before the U/S contrary to her statement before the magte T e to the

effect that it was Huchaya who entrusted the said rent-note to the pleader in the assistance suit. ~~There~~ There is no evidence to prove that it was she who entrusted the said rent-note to the pleader. Unless this matter is proved to the satisfaction of the magistrate, the offence of criminal breach of trust cannot be brought home against the pleader. The woman states that she was instigated to lodge this complaint. She being too old & infirm can easily be duped by either party. If the pleader were either to be prosecuted or be punished departmentally as suggested by the magistrate I.C. it shall have to be done, on believing in the statement made by her before the said magt. Since, she is changing her version & now deposes, altogether a different story, her former statement can not be relied on. There is no evidence that the pleader committed criminal breach of trust as can be seen from the papers enclosed.

The Complainant does not now desire to proceed with her complaint. Though the offence of criminal breach of trust is not a compoundable offence under § 345 Cr. P.C. the complainant may be allowed to withdraw her complaint as per Imp. v/s Vithoba Bhiwaji Bom. H.C. Cr. Rly. 20 of 1887. Orders are, under the circumstances

Pohlidam
Dist Magt
20
Solicit.
Law

No. 673 of 1921

Memorandum:—

Mr Ramaman Balaji Ghatte Head Karkun Sawant is hereby appointed Nyayadhish of the Sub-Judge's Court Sawant, under § 38 of the Bombay Civil Courts' Act (No XIV of 1869).

1-6-21.

Sub-Judge & Sessions Judge.

~~Forward~~ forward a copy to the S.J. Sawant for information.

Sd/-

Sd/-

File

Sd/-

Below No. 996 of 14th July 1920 from the Divan of Savanur.

No. 1926 of 1920 .

Huzur Office, Savanur

Dated, 23rd July 1920 .

Returned with compliments .

2) No further action is necessary . There is nothing on record to show that Mr. Bhimacharya's action was intentional However , the Divan should explain to Mr. Bhimacharya Pleadder that, in future, this sort of thing will not be allowed

Capt.

Nawab of Savanur

Office

Enclosures to be sent with a separate reply for the information of the Inspector Sahib. Mr Bhimacharya to be also informed of the Hunzur orders

23-7-20

Nos 1053 & 1920 - 1059

A Copy of para 2 of the Hunzur orders

A Copy with enclosures forwarded to (1) Mr Bhimacharya Pleader (2) Mr S. R. Mudugodar Magr I class for information with reference to his report of 13th May 1920 against the Pleader, with all the enclosures received from the Sahib.

The said Report & the Inspr's letter of 24-7-20 - Dwan

No. 1192 of 1921

Memo

Mr. Shanker Balwant

Khair - B.A. LLB, I cl:

naqte. Sawam State is hereby invested with the following additional powers under Cr. Pr. Code.

1 Power to make orders, prohibiting repetition of nuisances § 143 CR

2 Power to make orders under § 144 CR

3 do — to hold Inquests § 174 CR

4 do — to take cognizance of Offences upon complaint & also upon Police reports under § 190 C.P.C (a) & (b).

19/9/21

DM

Filed

Dr Sivanandam

Circ. 1

To

Meherban, Captain
Nawab Saheb,
Deleerjung Bahadur
Savanur

Honoured Sir,

We, the members of the Bar in the Savanur State respectfully submit the following for your favourable consideration.

The Bombay Council have recently passed the Pleaders Act in order to better the status of the Pleaders in the Presidency.

The said Act is published in the Bombay Govt. Gazette dated 13 December 1920.

We now pray that Your Highness will be kind enough to declare that the said Act is made applicable to the Savanur State and insert the same in the Administration Report.

For this act of kindness we shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

Savanur
25th April
1921

We beg to remain
Sir
Your most obedient Servants.

B Ramachandra Rao

Workers.

S K Ramachandra

Respectfully submitted through the Dewan Sahib, Savanur

Sd/-

Sports Act

Pr. No. 435

27-5-21

Sir,
The gazette is not ~~received~~ returned as yet.

2/5/21

No. 642 of 1921

Respectfully submitted to Captain Meharban Nawabzadah Dilawar Jung Bahadur for favour of orders, with the Gazette Copy of the amendment of the Pleaders Act.

Pleaders Act XX of 1850 is applicable to the State. If the amendment of the said Act is made applicable now, the fees of the pleaders will rise at 100 percent, generally. orders are solicited as to the request of the applicant pleaders.

Dewan

Savanur 27/5

OFFICE OF THE H. H.
T. No. 1246
3 JUN 21.
Savanur

No. 1238 of 1921.

Huzur Office, Savanur
Dated 13th June 1921.

Returned with compliments.

2) This Reed Noble hunter approve of the State.

Captain
Nawab of Savanur.

with Duffs
No. 1 1921
leaders to be informed separately
Reed
Sro Sir
complied 13/6

English Dept. To keep the Gazette papers with the volume and to ~~this~~ file this.

Sd/,
complied
HMW
20/8/21

Copy of Huzur order No. 1238 of 13-6-21 below the endorsement made under the application of the Pleaders of Savanur.

Subject:— Application of Pleaders Act.

"This need not be made applicable to the State"

No 716 of 21
Diwan's office, Savanur
Dated 20th June 1921

Copy circulated to all the Pleaders in turn who are requested to sign below this in token of having seen this.

Diwan Savanur

Seen 20-6-21

[illegible]

[illegible]

Rly [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]